Knoxville Municipal Airport

Pavement Management Report

PREPARED BY

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 1908 South First Street, Suite 201 Champaign, Illinois 61820 (217) 398-3977 www.appliedpavement.com

AUGUST 2025







KNOXVILLE MUNICIPAL AIRPORT PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT

Prepared For:



Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1101 https://iowadot.gov/modes-travel/aviation

Prepared By:



Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 1908 South First Street, Suite 201 Champaign, Illinois 61820 217-398-3977 https://www.appliedpavement.com

In Association With:



Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers 819 Second Street NE Independence, Iowa 50644 319-334-7211

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	
PAVEMENT INVENTORY	3
PAVEMENT EVALUATION	
Pavement Evaluation Procedure	
Pavement Evaluation Results	
Inspection Comments	
Runway	
Taxiways	
Aprons	
T-Hangar	
PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM	
Analysis Parameters	
Critical PCIs	
Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs	
Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs	
Budget and Inflation Rate	
Analysis Approach	
Analysis Results	
FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)	
FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program	
SUMMARY	
REFERENCES	
LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.	1
Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at Knoxville Municipal Airport	4
Figure 3. Knoxville Municipal Airport network definition map	
Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces	
Figure 5. PCI versus repair type	
Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Knoxville Municipal Airport	
Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Knoxville Municipal Airport	
Figure 8. Knoxville Municipal Airport PCI map	10
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 1. 2025 pavement evaluation results	11
Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario	
Table 3. Pavement inspection report	
•	

APPENDIXES

Appendix A. Cause of Distress Tables	A-1
Appendix B. Inspection Photographs	
Appendix C. Inspection Report	
Appendix D. Work History Report	D-1
Appendix E. Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Cost Tables	
Appendix F. Year 2025 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details	F-1

Introduction August 2025

INTRODUCTION

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. (APTech), with assistance from Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers (Robinson), updated the Airport Pavement Management System (APMS) for the Iowa Department of Transportation, Modal Transportation Bureau (Iowa DOT). The APMS provides a means to monitor the condition of the pavements within the State of Iowa and to proactively plan for their preservation.

As part of this project, pavement conditions at Knoxville Municipal Airport were visually assessed in March 2025 using the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) procedure. During a PCI inspection, the types, severities, and amounts of distress present on the pavement surface are quantified. This information is then used to develop a composite index that represents the overall condition of the pavement in numerical terms, ranging from 0 (*Failed*) to 100 (*Excellent*). The PCI provides an overall measure of condition and an indication of the level of work that will be required to maintain or repair a pavement. The distress information also provides insight into what is causing the pavement to deteriorate, which is the first step in selecting the appropriate repair action to correct the problem.

Programmed into an APMS, PCI data and results are used to determine when preventive maintenance actions (such as crack or joint sealing) are advisable and to identify the most cost-effective time to perform major rehabilitation (such as an overlay or whitetopping). Delaying maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) until a pavement structure has seriously degraded can cost many times more than if M&R was applied earlier in a pavement's life cycle, as shown in Figure 1. From a safety perspective, pavement distresses, such as cracks and loose debris, may pose risks in terms of the potential for aircraft tire damage and the ability of a pilot to safely control aircraft.




Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.

Introduction August 2025

The pavement evaluation results for Knoxville Municipal Airport are presented within this report and can be used by Knoxville Municipal Airport, the Iowa DOT, and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement M&R actions at the airport. In addition to this report, the web-based interactive pavement data visualization tool IDEA, containing the information collected during this project, was updated and may be accessed from the Iowa DOT's website or directly (Iowa APMS IDEA).

Pavement Inventory August 2025

PAVEMENT INVENTORY

The project began with a review of the existing inventory information pertaining to the pavements at Knoxville Municipal Airport. The date of original construction, along with the date of any subsequent rehabilitation; the location of completed work; and the type of work undertaken were gathered. The information was used to update the pavement management database and associated maps, as necessary, to account for pavement-related work that had been undertaken since the last time the airport was evaluated in 2021.

The pavement network at Knoxville Municipal Airport was then divided into branches, sections, and sample units. A branch is a single entity that serves a distinct function. For example, a runway is considered a branch because it serves a single function (allowing aircraft to take off and land). Taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are also separate branches.

Each branch was further divided into sections. Traditionally, sections are defined as parts of the branch that share common attributes, such as cross section, date of last construction, traffic level, and performance. Using this approach, if a runway was built in 1968 and then extended in 1984, it would contain two separate sections.

To estimate the overall condition of a pavement section, each section was subdivided into sample units. Portions of these sample units were evaluated during the pavement inspection, and the collected information was extrapolated to predict the overall section condition and quantities of distress.

Approximately 646,500 square feet of pavement were evaluated at Knoxville Municipal Airport, as illustrated in Figure 2. This figure also shows the area-weighted age in years of the pavements at the time of the inspection. Figure 3 provides a map that details how the pavement network was divided into management units and identifies the sample units that were evaluated during the pavement inspection at Knoxville Municipal Airport.

Pavement Inventory August 2025

Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at Knoxville Municipal Airport.






FIGURE 3. NETWORK DEFINITION MAP.







PAVEMENT EVALUATION

Pavement Evaluation Procedure

APTech visually inspected the pavements at Knoxville Municipal Airport using the PCI procedure described in:

- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C, <u>Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements</u>.
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B, <u>Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)</u>.
- ASTM D5340, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.

During the PCI inspection, a cursory inspection of the entirety of a pavement section was performed. Sample units identified for more detailed inspection were verified, and adjustments to the selected sample units for inspection were made as needed to ensure an accurate assessment of the pavement's condition. Data pertaining to the types, severities, and quantities of observed pavement distresses were then collected within each sample unit. These data were then used to calculate the composite PCI of each pavement section. The PCI provides a numerical indication of overall pavement condition, as illustrated in Figure 4. The PCI ranges from a value of 0, which represents a pavement in a *Failed* condition, to a value of 100, which represents a pavement in *Excellent* condition with no visible signs of deterioration. It is important to note that factors other than overall PCI need to be considered when identifying the appropriate type of repair, including types of distress present and rate of deterioration. Also, since the PCI does not assess the structural integrity or capacity of the pavement structure, further testing may be needed to validate and refine the treatment strategy.

PCI: 100

PCI: 83

Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces.

Note: Photographs shown are not specific to Knoxville Municipal Airport.

PCI: 66

Generally, pavements with relatively high PCIs that are not exhibiting significant load-related distress will benefit from preventive maintenance actions, such as crack sealing or joint resealing. As the PCI drops, the pavements may require major rehabilitation, such as an overlay or whitetopping. In some situations where the PCI has dropped low enough, reconstruction may be the only viable alternative due to the substantial damage to the pavement structure. Figure 5 illustrates how the appropriate repair type varies with the PCI of a pavement section and provides the corresponding colors used for the maps and charts in this report for each range of PCIs.

PCI Range Repair

86-100

71-85

Preventive Maintenance

56-70

Major Rehabilitation

26-40

11-25

Reconstruction

Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.

The types of distress identified during the PCI inspection provide insight into the cause of pavement deterioration, which is useful when selecting M&R strategies. Understanding the cause of distress helps in selecting a rehabilitation alternative that corrects the cause and thus eliminates or delays its recurrence. PCI distress types are characterized as:


- Load-related—These distress types are defined as being caused by aircraft or vehicular traffic and may indicate a structural deficiency. Examples of load-related distress include alligator cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements and corner breaks on portland cement concrete (PCC) pavements.
- Climate/durability-related—These distress types often signify the presence of aged or environmentally susceptible (or both) material and include durability-related issues.
 Examples of climate/durability-related distress include weathering on asphalt-surfaced pavements, which is climate-related, and durability cracking on PCC pavements, which is durability-related.
- Other—Distress types that fall into this category cannot be attributed solely to load or climate/durability. Examples of this type of distress include depressions on asphaltsurfaced pavements and shrinkage cracking on PCC pavements.

Appendix A identifies the distress types considered during a PCI inspection and describes the likely cause of each distress type. It should be noted that a PCI is based on visual signs of pavement deterioration and does not provide a measure of structural capacity.

Pavement Evaluation Results

The pavements at Knoxville Municipal Airport were inspected in March 2025. The 2025 area-weighted condition of Knoxville Municipal Airport is 82, with conditions ranging from 8 to 100 (on a scale of 0 [failed] to 100 [excellent]). During the previous pavement inspection in 2021, the area-weighted PCI of the airport was 89.

Figure 6 summarizes the overall condition of the pavements at Knoxville Municipal Airport, and Figure 7 presents area-weighted condition (average PCI adjusted to account for the relative size of the pavement sections) by branch use. Figure 8 is a map that displays the condition of the evaluated pavements. Table 1 summarizes the results of the pavement evaluation. Appendix B presents photographs taken during the PCI inspection, and Appendix C contains detailed information on the distress types observed during the visual survey. Appendix D includes detailed work history information that was collected during the record review process.








Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Knoxville Municipal Airport.

(Values on chart are area weighted.)





Pavement Evaluation

Table 1. 2025 pavement evaluation results.

						% Distress	% Distress Due to	% Distress	
Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2025 PCI	Due to Load	Climate/ Durability	Due to Other	Type of Distress
A01KN	01	PCC	30,703	3/3/2015	84	25	61	14	ASR, Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab
A02KN	01	PCC	37,012	6/1/1991	77	32	25	43	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
A02KN	02	PCC	16,055	1/3/2012	66	76	14	10	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking
A02KN	03	PCC	14,400	8/3/2023	100	0	0	0	No Distress
R15KN	01	PCC	244,244	3/3/2020	97	35	32	33	Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Small Patch
R15KN	02	PCC	69,339	6/30/1990	68	15	24	61	ASR, Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking, Small Patch
TAKN	01	PCC	26,202	3/3/2015	73	40	42	18	Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking, Small Patch
TBKN	01	PCC	56,546	6/4/1991	76	28	58	14	Blowup, Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
TBKN	02	PCC	83,867	6/3/1994	84	8	58	34	ASR, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking, Small Patch
TBKN	03	PCC	12,493	6/30/1990	68	16	0	84	ASR, Corner Spalling, Faulting, LTD Cracking, Small Patch
TBKN	04	PCC	2,585	6/1/1991	80	0	60	40	ASR, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage
TBKN	05	PCC	7,323	3/3/2020	99	0	100	0	Joint Seal Damage

Pavement Evaluation

Table 1. 2025 pavement evaluation results (continued).

Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2025 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distress
TCKN	01	PCC	5,570	6/30/1991	72	0	37	63	Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch
TH01KN	01	PCC	24,950	1/1/1967	8	40	8	52	ASR, Corner Break, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab
TH01KN	02	PCC	15,227	1/1/2016	81	33	58		ASR, Corner Break, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. LCD = last construction date.
- 4. Distress due to load includes distress types that are attributed to a structural deficiency in the pavement, such as alligator cracking or rutting on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on PCC pavements.
- 5. Distress due to climate or durability includes distress types that are attributed to either the aging of the pavement and the effects of the environment (such as weathering, raveling, or block cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements) or to a materials-related problem (such as durability cracking or alkali-silica reaction [ASR] on PCC pavements). If materials-related distresses were recorded during the inspection, further laboratory testing is required to definitively determine the type present.
- 6. Distress due to other refers to distress types that are not attributed to one factor but rather may be caused by a combination of factors.
- 7. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340. L&T cracking = longitudinal and transverse cracking; LTD cracking = longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal cracking; ASR = alkali-silica reaction.

Inspection Comments

Knoxville Municipal Airport was inspected on March 17, 2025. There were 15 pavement sections defined during the inspection. Alkali-silica reaction (ASR) was recorded at this airport according to the PCI procedure. The ASR was recorded where evidence of a precipitate was observed within some of the cracking in the PCC surface. It should be noted that laboratory testing in the form of petrographic analysis is the only definitive way to validate the presence of ASR; however, the formation of a precipitate is evidence of a reaction consistent with this type of materials-related distress.

Runway

Runway 15/33 consisted of two sections. Section 01 comprised most of the runway. Medium-severity joint spalling and low-severity faulting; joint seal damage; small patching; and longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal (LTD) cracking were identified in Section 01 during the inspection. Various distresses were recorded in Section 02 including low-severity ASR, corner break, and large patching; all severities of corner spalling; low- and medium-severity faulting and small patching; low- and high-severity joint seal damage; medium- and high-severity joint spalling; medium-severity LTD cracking; and shrinkage cracking.

Taxiways

Taxiway A contained one section. Low-severity faulting, all severities of joint seal damage, lowand medium-severity joint spalling and LTD cracking, shrinkage cracking, and medium- and high-severity small patching were recorded in Section 01.

Taxiway B consisted of five sections. In Section 01, medium-severity blow-up, joint spalling, LTD cracking, and corner break; low- and medium-severity corner spalling; and high-severity joint seal damage were identified at the time of the inspection. In Section 02, low- and medium-severity ASR and faulting; medium-severity corner spalling, LTD cracking, and joint spalling; shrinkage cracking; and low-severity small patching were identified along with medium- and high-severity joint seal damage. Low- and medium-severity ASR and corner spalling, low-severity faulting and small patching, and medium-severity LTD cracking were observed in Section 03. Section 04 contained areas of high-severity joint seal damage and low-severity ASR and joint spalling. Section 05 was in *Excellent* condition with only low-severity joint seal damage observed at the time of the inspection.

Taxiway C was defined by one section that had areas of all severities of corner spalling, low-severity faulting and large patching, and high-severity joint seal damage identified during the inspection.

Aprons

Apron 01 consisted of one section with low-severity ASR, corner spalling, joint spalling, and shattered slab; low- and medium-severity LTD cracking; and medium- and high-severity joint seal damage.

Apron 02 contained three sections. Low-severity corner break and faulting, all severities of corner spalling, medium-severity joint seal damage and LTD cracking, and low- and medium-severity joint spalling were observed in Section 01. In Section 02, low- and medium-severity corner break, shattered slab, and joint spalling; medium- and high-severity corner spalling; medium-severity joint seal damage and LTD cracking; and shrinkage cracking were recorded. Section 03 was recently constructed and in *Excellent* condition. No distresses were noted at the time of the inspection.

T-Hangar

The T-hangar area contained two sections. Section 01 was in *Poor* condition with all severities of ASR; medium-severity corner break and large patching; medium- and high-severity joint seal damage, shattered slab; and joint spalling; and low- and medium-severity LTD cracking observed during the inspection. In Section 02, low-severity LTD cracking, ASR, and corner break; shrinkage cracking; and medium- and high-severity joint seal damage were recorded.

PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM

Using the information collected during the pavement inspection, the PAVER pavement management software was used to develop a 5-year M&R program for Knoxville Municipal Airport. In addition, a 1-year plan for localized preventive maintenance (such as crack sealing and patching) was prepared.

Analysis Parameters

Critical PCIs

PAVER uses critical PCIs to determine whether localized preventive maintenance or major rehabilitation is the appropriate repair action. Above the critical PCI, localized preventive maintenance activities are recommended. Below the critical PCI, major rehabilitation actions, such as an overlay or reconstruction, are recommended. The lowa DOT set the critical PCIs at 65 for runways, 60 for taxiways, and 55 for aprons and T-hangars.

Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs

Localized preventive maintenance policies were developed for asphalt-surfaced and PCC pavements. These policies, shown in Appendix E, identify the localized preventive maintenance actions that the Iowa DOT considered appropriate to correct the different distress types and severities. The Iowa DOT provided unit costs for each of the localized preventive maintenance actions included in these policies, and these costs are detailed in Appendix E. Please note that this information is of a general nature for the entire State. The localized preventive maintenance policies and unit costs may require adjustments to reflect specific conditions at Knoxville Municipal Airport.

Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs

PAVER estimates the cost of major rehabilitation based on the predicted PCI of the pavement section. The lowa DOT provided the costs for major rehabilitation, and they are presented in Appendix E. If major rehabilitation is recommended in the 5-year program, further engineering investigation will be needed to identify the most appropriate rehabilitation action and to estimate the cost of such work more accurately.

Budget and Inflation Rate

An unlimited budget with a start date of July 1, 2025, and an inflation rate of 2.3 percent was used during the analysis.

Analysis Approach

The 5-year M&R program was prepared with the goal of maintaining the pavements above established critical PCIs. During this analysis, major rehabilitation was recommended for pavements in the year they dropped below their critical PCI. For the first year (2025) of the analysis only, a localized preventive maintenance plan was developed for those pavement sections that were above their critical PCI. If major rehabilitation was triggered for a section in 2026 or 2027, then localized preventive maintenance was not recommended for 2025. While localized preventive maintenance should be an annual undertaking at Knoxville Municipal Airport, it is not possible to accurately predict the propagation of cracking and other distress types. Therefore, the airport should budget for maintenance every year and can use the 2025 localized preventive maintenance plan as a baseline for that work. As the pavements age, it can be assumed that the amount of localized preventive maintenance required will increase.

Analysis Results

A summary of the M&R program for Knoxville Municipal Airport is presented in Table 2. Detailed information on the recommended localized preventive maintenance plan for 2025 is provided in Appendix F.

Surface **Estimated** Year **Branch** Section Cost Type Type of Repair PCC 2025 A01KN 01 Preventive Maintenance \$15,048 2025 A02KN 01 **PCC** Preventive Maintenance \$23,324 PCC \$14,241 2025 A02KN 02 Preventive Maintenance **PCC** 2025 R15KN 01 Preventive Maintenance \$1,758 2025 R15KN 02 **PCC** Preventive Maintenance \$15,444 **TAKN PCC** 2025 01 Preventive Maintenance \$11,207 2025 **TBKN** 01 **PCC** Preventive Maintenance \$38,067 2025 **TBKN** 02 PCC Preventive Maintenance \$47,827 PCC 2025 **TBKN** 03 Preventive Maintenance \$20,466 2025 **TBKN** 04 PCC Preventive Maintenance \$1,145 PCC 2025 **TCKN** 01 Preventive Maintenance \$3,001

Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario.

Total Estimated Cost: \$668,000

\$470,563

\$5,750

Major Rehabilitation

Preventive Maintenance

Table Notes:

2025

2025

TH01KN

TH01KN

1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

01

02

2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.

PCC

PCC

- 3. Type of Repair: Major Rehabilitation, such as pavement reconstruction or an overlay; Localized Preventive Maintenance, such as crack sealing or patching.
- 4. The estimated costs provided are of a general nature for the entire State and may require adjustments to reflect specific conditions at Knoxville Municipal Airport.

The recommendations made in this report are based on a broad network-level analysis and meant to provide Knoxville Municipal Airport with an indication of the type of pavement-related work required during the next 5 years. Further engineering investigation may be necessary to identify which repair action is most appropriate. In addition, the cost estimates provided are based on overall unit costs for the entire State, and Knoxville Municipal Airport should adjust the plan to reflect local costs.

Because an unlimited budget was used in the analysis, it is possible that the pavement repair program may need to be adjusted to consider economic or operational constraints. The identification of a project need does not necessarily mean that State or Federal funding will be available in the year it is indicated. It is important to remember that regardless of the recommendations presented within this report, Knoxville Municipal Airport is responsible for repairing pavements where existing conditions pose a hazard to safe operations.

General Maintenance Recommendations

In addition to the specific maintenance actions presented in Appendix F, it is recommended that the following strategies be considered for prolonging pavement life:

- Regularly inspect all safety areas of the airport and document all inspection activity. A
 sample form that can be used to perform these inspections is provided in Table 3 of this
 report.
- Provide a method of tracking all maintenance activities that occur because of these
 inspections. This documentation needs to be reported to the FAA and the lowa DOT.
 This information is used to update the APMS records and is required to remain in
 compliance with Public Law 103-305 (see the next section of this report for further
 information on this law).
- 3. Conduct an aggressive campaign against weed growth through timely herbicide applications and mowing programs of the safety areas. Vegetation growth in pavement cracks is destructive and significantly increases the rate of pavement deterioration.
- 4. Implement a periodic crack and joint sealing program. Keeping water and debris out of the pavement system by sealing cracks and joints is a proven and cost-effective method for extending the life of the pavement system.
- 5. Ensure all edges of pavement maintain the required 1.5-inch lip. This enables the water to drain away from the pavement system.
- 6. Closely monitor the movement of heavy equipment (particularly farming, construction, mowing, and fueling equipment) to make sure it is only operating on pavements that are designed to accommodate heavy loads. Failure to restrict heavy equipment to appropriate areas may result in the premature failure of airport pavements.

FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)

Because Knoxville Municipal Airport is in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS), the airport sponsor is required to keep the airport in a viable operating condition. This includes maintaining airport pavements in accordance with Public Law 103-305. Public Law 103-305 states that after January 1, 1995, NPIAS airport sponsors must provide assurances or certifications that an airport has implemented an effective airport pavement maintenance management system (PMMS) before the airport will be considered for Federal funding of pavement replacement or reconstruction projects. To be in full compliance with the Federal law, the PMMS must include the following components at minimum: pavement inventory, pavement inspections, record keeping, information retrieval, and program funding.

This report serves as a complete pavement inventory and detailed inspection. To remain in compliance with the law, Knoxville Municipal Airport will also need to undertake monthly driveby inspections of pavement conditions and track pavement-related maintenance activities.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B provides detailed guidance pertaining to the requirements for an acceptable pavement management program. Appendix A of the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B outlines what needs to be included in a PMP to remain in compliance with this law and Grant Assurance #11. The following is a copy of this appendix, along with instructions for supplementing this report so that all requirements are met. Note that the italicized text is a direct quotation from the FAA Advisory Circular.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program

A-1.0. An effective PMP specifies the procedures to follow to assure that proper preventative and remedial pavement maintenance is performed. The program should identify funding or anticipated funding and other resources available to provide remedial and preventive maintenance activities. An airport sponsor may use any format deemed appropriate, but the program needs to, as a minimum, include the following:

A-1.1. Pavement Inventory. The following must be depicted:

a. Identification of all runways, taxiways, and aprons with pavement broken down into sections each having similar properties.

The network definition map provided in Figure 3 of this report shows the location of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars at Knoxville Municipal Airport. If any new pavements are constructed or any pavement areas are permanently closed, this map must be updated. Project plans should be submitted to the lowa DOT after project completion.

b. Dimensions of pavement sections.

The dimensions of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are stored in the PAVER database. Appendix C provides information on length, width, and area. In addition, the network definition map provided in Figure 3 is drawn to scale. Any changes to pavement dimensions must be recorded.

c. Type of pavement surface.

The type of pavement for each section at Knoxville Municipal Airport is listed in Table 1 of this report and is also stored in the PAVER database. Any changes to the pavement type (through an overlay or reconstruction) must be recorded.

- d. Year of construction and/or most recent major rehabilitation.
 - Dates for pavement construction, rehabilitation, or reconstruction must be recorded. The current pavement history for Knoxville Municipal Airport is provided in Appendix D of this report.
- e. Whether AIP [Airport Improvement Program] or PFC [Passenger Facility Charge] funds were used to construct, reconstruct, or repair the pavement.
 - Funding sources for all pavement projects should be recorded.

A-1.2. PMP Pavement Inspection Schedule. Airports must perform a detailed inspection of airfield pavements at least once a year for the PMP. If a pavement condition index (PCI) survey is performed, as set forth in ASTM D5340, "Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys," the frequency of the detailed inspection by PCI surveys may be extended to three years. Less comprehensive routine daily, weekly, and monthly maintenance inspections required for operations should be addressed.

This report consists of a detailed inspection that will extend the inspection period to 3 years. It is the airport sponsor's responsibility to perform monthly drive-by inspections. A sample pavement inspection report form is provided in Table 3 of this report.

A-1.3. Record Keeping. The airport must record and keep on file complete information about all detailed inspections and maintenance performed until the pavement system is replaced. The types of distress, their locations, and remedial action, scheduled or performed, must be documented. The minimum information recorded includes:

- a. Inspection date
- b. Location
- c. Distress types
- d. Maintenance scheduled or performed

Items A through C are satisfied by this inspection report. Item D is the responsibility of the airport, as is record keeping of the monthly drive-by inspections.

A-1.4. Information Retrieval. An airport sponsor may use any form of record keeping it deems appropriate so long as the information and records from the pavement survey can generate required reports, as necessary.

Keep this report, monthly drive-by inspection reports, construction updates, and all records of maintenance activities in a readily accessible location so that they can be easily retrieved as requested by the FAA.

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

Table 3. Pavement inspection report.

Inspected By:	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
A01KN	01					
A02KN	01					
A02KN	02					
A02KN	03					
R15KN	01					
R15KN	02					

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

Table 3. Pavement inspection report (continued
--

Inspected By:		_
Date Inspected:		

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
TAKN	01					
TBKN	01					
TBKN	02					
TBKN	03					
TBKN	04					
TBKN	05					

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

Table 3. Pavement inspection report (continued	I)
--	----

Inspected By:		
Date Inspected:		

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
TCKN	01					
TH01KN	01					
TH01KN	02					

Table Note: See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

Summary August 2025

SUMMARY

This report documents the results of the pavement evaluation conducted at Knoxville Municipal Airport. A visual inspection of the pavements in 2025 found that the overall condition of the pavement network is a PCI of 82. A 5-year pavement repair program, shown in Table 2, was generated for Knoxville Municipal Airport, which revealed that approximately \$668,000 needs to be expended on M&R. Knoxville Municipal Airport should utilize these study results to assist in planning for future maintenance needs as part of the airport CIP planning process.

References August 2025

REFERENCES

ASTM International (ASTM). Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys. D5340. ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA.

Federal Aviation Administration Authorization Act of 1994. Public Law No. 103-305. Vol 108 Stat. 1569. 1994.

Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). <u>Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements</u>. Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C. Federal Aviation Administration, Washington, DC.

Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). <u>Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)</u>. Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B. Federal Aviation Administration, Washington, DC.

US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 2009. <u>Asphalt Surfaced Airfields</u>. PAVER Distress Identification Manual. USACE, Washington, DC.

US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 2009. <u>Concrete Surfaced Airfields</u>. PAVER Distress Identification Manual. USACE, Washington, DC.

US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 2021. PAVER. (Software). US Army Corps of Engineers, Transportation Systems Center, Omaha, NE.

APPENDIX A CAUSE OF DISTRESS TABLES

Cause of Distress Tables August 2025

Table A-1. Cause of pavement distress, asphalt-surfaced pavements (USACE 2009a).

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
Alligator Cracking	Fatigue failure of the asphalt surface under repeated traffic loading.
Bleeding	Excessive amounts of asphalt cement or tars in the mix or low air void content, or both.
Block Cracking	Shrinkage of the asphalt and daily temperature cycling; it is not load associated.
Corrugation	Traffic action combined with an unstable pavement layer.
Depression	Settlement of the foundation soil or can be "built up" during construction.
Jet-Blast Erosion	Bituminous binder has been burned or carbonized.
Joint Reflection Cracking	Movement of the concrete slab beneath the asphalt surface due to thermal and moisture changes.
L&T Cracking	Cracks may be caused by (1) a poorly constructed paving lane joint, (2) shrinkage of the asphalt surface due to low temperatures or hardening of the asphalt, or (3) reflective cracking caused by cracks in an underlying PCC slab.
Oil Spillage	Deterioration or softening of the pavement surface caused by the spilling of oil, fuel, or other solvents.
Patching	N/A
Polished Aggregate	Repeated traffic applications.
Raveling	Asphalt binder may have hardened significantly, causing coarse aggregate pieces to dislodge.
Rutting	Usually caused by consolidation or lateral movement of the materials due to traffic loads.
Shoving	Where PCC pavements adjoin flexible pavements, PCC "growth" may shove the asphalt pavement.
Slippage Cracking	Low-strength surface mix or poor bond between the surface and the next layer of the pavement structure.
Swelling	Usually caused by frost action or by swelling soil.
Weathering	Asphalt binder and/or fine aggregate may wear away as the pavement ages and hardens.

Cause of Distress Tables August 2025

Table A-2. Cause of pavement distress, PCC pavements (USACE 2009b).

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
ASR	Chemical reaction of alkalis in the cement with certain reactive silica minerals. ASR may be accelerated by the use of chemical pavement deicers.
Blowup	Incompressible materials in the joints.
Corner Break	Load repetition combined with loss of support and curling stresses.
Durability Cracking	Concrete's inability to withstand environmental factors, such as freeze-thaw cycles.
Faulting	Upheaval or consolidation.
Joint Seal Damage	Stripping of joint sealant, extrusion of joint sealant, weed growth, hardening of the filler (oxidation), loss of bond to the slab edges, or absence of sealant in the joint.
LTD Cracking	Combination of load repetition, curling stresses, and shrinkage stresses.
Patching (Small and Large)	N/A
Popouts	Freeze-thaw action in combination with expansive aggregates.
Pumping	Poor drainage, poor joint sealant.
Scaling	Over finishing of concrete, deicing salts, improper construction, freeze-thaw cycles, and poor aggregate.
Shattered Slab	Load repetition.
Shrinkage Cracking	Setting and curing of the concrete.
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Excessive stresses at the joint caused by infiltration of incompressible materials or traffic loads; weak concrete at the joint combined with traffic loads.

APPENDIX B INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS

A01KN-01. Overview.



A01KN-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 02).



A01KN-01. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 04).



A01KN-01. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 13).



A02KN-01. Overview.



A02KN-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 08).




A02KN-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 10).



A02KN-01. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 10).



A02KN-02. Overview.



A02KN-02. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 03).



A02KN-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 03).



A02KN-02. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 03).



A02KN-03. Overview.



R15KN-01. Overview.



R15KN-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 57).



R15KN-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 07).



R15KN-01. Small Patching (Sample Unit No. 14).



R15KN-02. Overview.



R15KN-02. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 16).



R15KN-02. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 19) (1).



R15KN-02. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 19) (2).



R15KN-02. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 02).




R15KN-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 19).



TAKN-01. Overview.



TAKN-01. Shrinkage Cracking (Sample Unit No. 04).



TAKN-01. Small Patching (Sample Unit No. 04).



TBKN-01. Overview.




TBKN-01. Blow-Up (Sample Unit No. 12).



TBKN-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 12).



TBKN-02. Overview.



TBKN-02. Faulting (Sample Unit No. 20).



TBKN-02. Shrinkage Cracking (Sample Unit No. 23).



TBKN-03. Overview.



TBKN-03. ASR (Sample Unit No. 05).



TBKN-03. Faulting (Sample Unit No. 02).



TBKN-03. Faulting (Sample Unit No. 05).



TBKN-04. Overview.



TBKN-04 ASR. (Sample Unit No. 01).



TBKN-04. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 01).



TBKN-04. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 01).



TBKN-05. Overview.



TBKN-05. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 01).



TBKN-05. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 04).



TCKN-01. Overview.



TCKN-01. Corner Spalling (Sample Unit No. 02) (1).



TCKN-01. Corner Spalling (Sample Unit No. 02) (2).



TCKN-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 02).



TH01KN-01. Overview.



TH01KN-01. ASR (Sample Unit No. 04).



TH01KN-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 04).



TH01KN-02. Overview.



TH01KN-02. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01KN-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 02).



APPENDIX C INSPECTION REPORT

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: OXV

Network ID: OXV			Page 1
	Branch - Secti	ion ID: A01KN - 001	
Branch Name: APRON 01			Use: APRON
LCD: 3/3/2015 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 30,703.00 Length (ft): 250.00 Width (ft): 120.00 From: HANGARS To: TAXIWAY 01		PCI Family: lowaPCCAP_SE_General	
Slabs: 196 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 4,533.81 Last Insp Date: 3/17/2025		Section Comments:	
PCI: 84 Total Samples: 13 Surveyed: 6			
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	M	20.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 74 JOINT SPALL	M L	20.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 07		4.00 Clabs	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 81 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	20.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL 76 ASR	L L	1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 10		2.00 01003	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	20.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 11			
Camania Tumas D		Camania Camananta	

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 83

Sample Area (Slabs): 12.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 12.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL L 2.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: OXV Page 2

Sample Number: 13

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 65

Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00

 63 LINEAR CR
 L
 3.00 Slabs

 63 LINEAR CR
 M
 1.00 Slabs

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 H
 16.00 Slabs

 72 SHAT. SLAB
 L
 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: OXV Page 3

Network ID: OXV			Page 3
	Branch - Section ID:	A02KN - 001	
Branch Name: APRON 02			Use: APRON
LCD: 6/1/1991 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 37,012.00 Length (ft): 120.00 Width (ft): 300.00 From: T01KN-01 To: A02KN-01	PCI Fam	nily: IowaPCCAP_SE_General	
Slabs: 261 Slab Length (ft): 11.80 Slab Width (ft): 12.00 Joint Length (ft): 5,789.14 Last Insp Date: 3/17/2025		Comments: on Comments:	
PCI: 77 Total Samples: 11 Surveyed: 6			
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 79 Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00	Sample	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	M M M L	1.00 Slabs 21.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 70 Sample Area (Slabs): 25.00	Sample	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 74 JOINT SPALL 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	M M M L M	1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 25.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 05			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 75 Sample Area (Slabs): 25.00	Sample	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG	M M	1.00 Slabs 25.00 Slabs	

L

Н

1.00 Slabs1.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

71 FAULTING

75 CORNER SPALL

75 CORNER SPALL

Network ID: OXV	Page 4
Network ID. OAV	Page 4

Network ID: OXV			Page 4
Sample Number: 07			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 79 Sample Area (Slabs): 25.00	Sample	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 65 JT SEAL DMG 74 JOINT SPALL 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	L M L M L	1.00 Slabs 25.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 08			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 72 Sample Area (Slabs): 25.00	Sample	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	M M L M M	1.00 Slabs 25.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 10			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 87 Sample Area (Slabs): 25.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	M L L	25.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	

Μ

1.00 Slabs

75 CORNER SPALL

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Faveilletti Dalabase. IA 2024			Generale Date. 6/11/202
Network ID: OXV			Page
	Branch - Section	on ID: A02KN - 002	
Branch Name: APRON 02			Use: APROI
LCD: 1/3/2012 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 16,055.00 Length (ft): 130.00 Width (ft): 120.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP		PCI Family: lowaPCCAP_SE_General	
Slabs: 113 Slab Length (ft): 11.80 Slab Width (ft): 12.00 Joint Length (ft): 2,441.22	•	Section Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 3/17/2025 PCI: 66 Total Samples: 5 Surveyed: 4		Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 19.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	M	19.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 62 CORNER BREAK	L	Sample Comments: 1.00 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	M	20.00 Slabs	
73 SHRINKAGE CR	N	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 37 Sample Area (Slabs): 25.00		Sample Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 72 SHAT. SLAB 72 SHAT. SLAB 73 SHRINKAGE CR	L M M M L M	2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 8.00 Slabs 25.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 56 Sample Area (Slabs): 25.00		Sample Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG	М М М	1.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 25.00 Slabs	

Μ

Н

Μ

1.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

2.00 Slabs

74 JOINT SPALL

74 JOINT SPALL

75 CORNER SPALL

75 CORNER SPALL

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: OXV Page 6

Branch - Section ID: A02KN - 003

Branch Name: APRON 02 Use: APRON

LCD: 8/3/2023 Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 14,400.00

Length (ft): 120.00 Width (ft): 120.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 100

Slab Length (ft): 12.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.00 Joint Length (ft): 2,100.00

Last Insp Date: 3/17/2025 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 100 Total Samples: 4 Surveyed: 3

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 25.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 25.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 04

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 25.00

NO DISTRESS

Section Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCAP SE General

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: OXV Page 7

Branch - Section ID: R15KN - 001

Use: RUNWAY Branch Name: RUNWAY 15/33

LCD: 3/3/2020

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 244,244.00

Length (ft): 3,075.00 Width (ft): 75.00 From: RUNWAY END 33 To: RUNWAY END 15

Slabs: 1.303

Slab Length (ft): 15.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 32,486.44

Last Insp Date: 3/17/2025

PCI: 97

Total Samples: 59 Surveyed: 8

Sample Number: 07

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

63 LINEAR CR

Sample Number: 14

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 99

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

66 SMALL PATCH

Sample Number: 21

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 28

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 35

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 97

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

74 JOINT SPALL

Sample Number: 42

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 96

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

71 FAULTING

Section Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCRW SE General

Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

4.00 Slabs

Sample Comments:

L

L

Μ

L

1.00 Slabs

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

1.00 Slabs

Sample Comments:

1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: OXV Page 8

Sample Number: 49

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 57

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 27.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 27.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: OXV Page 9

Branch -	Section	ID. B	15KN	_ 002
Dianti-	Section	ID. N	IJIXIX	- 002

Branch Name: RUNWAY 15/33

Use: RUNWAY

LCD: 6/30/1990

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 69,339.00

Length (ft): 923.00 Width (ft): 75.00

From: 33 END OF RWY 15/33

To: 1000 FEET SE

Slabs: 444 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 10,094.60

Last Insp Date: 3/17/2025

PCI: 68

Total Samples: 19 Surveyed: 7 Inspection Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCRW_SE_General

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 64

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs
66 SMALL PATCH	L	11.00 Slabs
67 LARGE PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs
71 FAULTING	L	4.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs
76 ASR	L	2.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 05

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 65

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs
63 LINEAR CR	M	1.00 Slabs
65 JT SEAL DMG	L	24.00 Slabs
66 SMALL PATCH	L	9.00 Slabs
71 FAULTING	L	3.00 Slabs
73 SHRINKAGE CR	N	2.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	M	4.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025 Network ID: OXV Page 10 Sample Number: 09 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 42 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 63 LINEAR CR 3.00 Slabs M 65 JT SEAL DMG 24.00 Slabs L 66 SMALL PATCH 2.00 Slabs L 66 SMALL PATCH M 2.00 Slabs 5.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 71 FAULTING Μ 2.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL Н 1.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL Μ 2.00 Slabs **75 CORNER SPALL** Μ 5.00 Slabs Sample Number: 12 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 65 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 24.00 Slabs 65 JT SEAL DMG L 66 SMALL PATCH L 7.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING 5.00 Slabs L 75 CORNER SPALL 1.00 Slabs **75 CORNER SPALL** L 1.00 Slabs **75 CORNER SPALL** Μ 7.00 Slabs Sample Number: 14 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 76 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 66 SMALL PATCH L 8.00 Slabs 66 SMALL PATCH 1.00 Slabs M 71 FAULTING L 3.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL M 1.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL L 2.00 Slabs Sample Number: 16 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 91 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 65 JT SEAL DMG L 24.00 Slabs L 2.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING Sample Number: 19 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 72 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 **62 CORNER BREAK** L 1.00 Slabs 63 LINEAR CR Μ 1.00 Slabs

Н

L

Ν

Μ

24.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

65 JT SEAL DMG

74 JOINT SPALL

73 SHRINKAGE CR

71 FAULTING

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: OXV Page 11

Network ID. UAV			Page 11
Branch Name: TAXIWAY A	Branch - Sect	ion ID: TAKN - 001	Use: TAXIWAY
LCD: 3/3/2015 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 26,202.00 Length (ft): 705.00 Width (ft): 35.00 From: APRON 01 To: RUNWAY 15/33		PCI Family: lowaPCCTW_SE_General	
Slabs: 198 Slab Length (ft): 12.00 Slab Width (ft): 11.00 Joint Length (ft): 3,779.71		Section Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 3/17/2025 PCI: 73 Total Samples: 10 Surveyed: 5		Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 64 Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00		Sample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL	L M H L L	1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 21.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 76 Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH 66 SMALL PATCH 71 FAULTING 73 SHRINKAGE CR	M H M L N	21.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 06			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 70 Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00		Sample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG	L M M	4.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 21.00 Slabs	

1.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs

71 FAULTING

73 SHRINKAGE CR

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: OXV Page 12

Sample Number: 08

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 65

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

 63 LINEAR CR
 L
 4.00 Slabs

 63 LINEAR CR
 M
 3.00 Slabs

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 M
 21.00 Slabs

 73 SHRINKAGE CR
 N
 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 09

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 19.00

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 L
 19.00 Slabs

 73 SHRINKAGE CR
 N
 1.00 Slabs

 74 JOINT SPALL
 M
 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: OXV Page 13

Network ID: OXV			Page 13
Branch Name: TAXIWAY B	Branch - Section	ID: TBKN - 001	Use: TAXIWAY
LCD: 6/4/1991 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 56,546.00 Length (ft): 1,600.00 Width (ft): 35.00 From: SW END OF T02KN-01 To: T01KN	PCI	Family: lowaPCCTW_SE_General	
Slabs: 385 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 11.75 Joint Length (ft): 7,685.16	Sec	tion Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 3/17/2025 PCI: 76 Total Samples: 16 Surveyed: 6	Insp	pection Comments:	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 65 JT SEAL DMG	San H	nple Comments: 24.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04		24.00 Slabs	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 81 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	San	nple Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	M M	1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 06			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 83 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	San	nple Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	M L	1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 08	L	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Type: R	San	nple Comments:	
Sample PCI: 73 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	cui	ipie Commonie.	
62 CORNER BREAK	M	1.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	M	1.00 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG 75 CORNER SPALL	H L	24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L M	1.00 Glabs	

1.00 Slabs

75 CORNER SPALL

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: OXV Page 14

Sample Number: 12

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 46

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

 61 BLOW-UP
 M
 1.00 Slabs

 63 LINEAR CR
 M
 4.00 Slabs

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 H
 24.00 Slabs

 74 JOINT SPALL
 M
 2.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 14

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 83

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 H
 24.00 Slabs

 75 CORNER SPALL
 L
 1.00 Slabs

 75 CORNER SPALL
 M
 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: OXV Page 15

Branch - Section ID: TBKN - 002 Branch Name: TAXIWAY B Use: TAXIWAY LCD: 6/3/1994 PCI Family: IowaPCCTW SE General Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 83,867.00 Length (ft): 2,400.00 Width (ft): 35.00 From: T01KN To: T03KN Slabs: 571 Section Comments: Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 11.75 Joint Length (ft): 11,415.83 Last Insp Date: 3/17/2025 Inspection Comments: PCI: 84 Total Samples: 24 Surveyed: 7 Sample Number: 02 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 79 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 65 JT SEAL DMG Н 24.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 66 SMALL PATCH L 71 FAULTING L 1.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL Μ 1.00 Slabs Sample Number: 05 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 80 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 63 LINEAR CR Μ 1.00 Slabs 65 JT SEAL DMG Μ 24.00 Slabs 73 SHRINKAGE CR Ν 1.00 Slabs 76 ASR L 1.00 Slabs Sample Number: 10 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 83 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 65 JT SEAL DMG Μ 24.00 Slabs 76 ASR Μ 1.00 Slabs Sample Number: 15 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 89 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 24.00 Slabs 65 JT SEAL DMG Μ 71 FAULTING 1.00 Slabs L

Sample Number: 17

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG M 24.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: OXV Page 16

Sample Number: 20

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 84

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 M
 24.00 Slabs

 71 FAULTING
 L
 1.00 Slabs

 71 FAULTING
 M
 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 23

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 80

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24.00 Slabs
71 FAULTING	L	1.00 Slabs
73 SHRINKAGE CR	N	1.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: OXV Page 17

Network ID: OXV			Page 17
	Branch - Section II	D: TBKN - 003	
Branch Name: TAXIWAY B			Use: TAXIWAY
LCD: 6/30/1990 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 12,493.00 Length (ft): 350.00 Width (ft): 45.83 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP	PCI Fa	amily: lowaPCCTW_SE_General	
Slabs: 105 Slab Length (ft): 11.80 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 1,990.14	Section	n Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 3/17/2025 PCI: 68 Total Samples: 6 Surveyed: 4	Inspec	tion Comments:	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 75 Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00	Sample	e Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 66 SMALL PATCH 71 FAULTING 75 CORNER SPALL 76 ASR	M L L L	1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 66 Sample Area (Slabs): 17.00	Sample	e Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 71 FAULTING 75 CORNER SPALL 76 ASR	M L M M	1.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 05			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 72 Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00	Sample	e Comments:	
66 SMALL PATCH 71 FAULTING 76 ASR 76 ASR	L L L	1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 06			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 61 Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00	Sample	e Comments:	

 66 SMALL PATCH
 L
 2.00 Slabs

 76 ASR
 L
 1.00 Slabs

 76 ASR
 M
 4.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: OXV Page 18

Branch - Section ID: TBKN - 004

Branch Name: TAXIWAY B Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 6/1/1991

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 2,585.00

Length (ft): 50.00 Width (ft): 35.00

From: NORTH END OF R15KN-01 To: NORTH END OF T03KN-02

Slabs: 21

Slab Length (ft): 12.70 Slab Width (ft): 9.50 Joint Length (ft): 350.09

Last Insp Date: 3/17/2025

PCI: 80 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1 PCI Family: IowaPCCTW_SE_General

Section Comments: avg slab width

Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 80

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

 65 JT SEAL DMG
 H
 21.00 Slabs

 74 JOINT SPALL
 L
 2.00 Slabs

 76 ASR
 L
 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: OXV Page 19

Branch - Section ID: TBKN - 005

Branch Name: TAXIWAY B Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 3/3/2020 PCI Family: lowaPCCTW_SE_General

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P Section Area (sf): 7,323.00

Length (ft): 140.00 Width (ft): 35.00

From: NORTH END OF R15KN-01 To: NORTH END OF T03KN-04

Slabs: 89 Section Comments: avg slab width

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 8.20 Joint Length (ft): 1,363.81

Last Insp Date: 3/17/2025 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 99 Total Samples: 4 Surveyed: 3

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 04

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 29.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 29.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: OXV Page 20

Branch - Section ID: TCKN - 001

Branch Name: TAXIWAY C Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 6/30/1991

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 5,570.00

Length (ft): 118.00 Width (ft): 35.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 47 Section Comments: avg slab width

Slab Length (ft): 11.80 Slab Width (ft): 10.10 Joint Length (ft): 817.17

Last Insp Date: 3/17/2025

PCI: 72 Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 2 **Inspection Comments:**

Sample Comments:

PCI Family: lowaPCCTW SE General

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 78

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG H 20.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 4.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 67

Sample Area (Slabs): 27.00

65 JT SEAL DMG Н 27.00 Slabs 67 LARGE PATCH L 2.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 1.00 Slabs **75 CORNER SPALL** Η 1.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL 3.00 Slabs L 75 CORNER SPALL Μ 2.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: OXV Page 21

5	Branch - Section ID: TI	H01KN - 001	
Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01			Use: T-HANGAF
LCD: 1/1/1967 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 24,950.00 Length (ft): 1,010.00 Width (ft): 25.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP	PCI Fam	ily: lowaPCCTH_SE	
Slabs: 128 Slab Length (ft): 15.00 Slab Width (ft): 13.00 Joint Length (ft): 2,559.86	Section C	Comments: variable slabs	
Last Insp Date: 3/17/2025 PCI: 8 Total Samples: 6 Surveyed: 4	Inspectio	n Comments:	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 8 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample 0	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	M	3.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	L	1.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	M	4.00 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	24.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	2.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs	
76 ASR	Н	8.00 Slabs	
76 ASR	L	2.00 Slabs	

5.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R	Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 2

76 ASR

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

M	2.00 Slabs
L	8.00 Slabs
M	8.00 Slabs
Н	24.00 Slabs
Н	1.00 Slabs
M	2.00 Slabs
Н	10.00 Slabs
L	4.00 Slabs
M	10.00 Slabs
	L M H H M H

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: OXV Page 22

Sample Number: 04				
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 18 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample Comments:			
63 LINEAR CR	L	2.00 Slabs		
63 LINEAR CR	M	3.00 Slabs		
65 JT SEAL DMG	M	24.00 Slabs		
67 LARGE PATCH	M	3.00 Slabs		
74 JOINT SPALL	Н	1.00 Slabs		
76 ASR	Н	5.00 Slabs		
76 ASR	L	2.00 Slabs		

Μ

2.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 05

76 ASR

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 0

Sample Area (Slabs): 15.00

62 CORNER BREAK	M	2.00 Slabs
63 LINEAR CR	M	9.00 Slabs
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	15.00 Slabs
72 SHAT. SLAB	M	6.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	M	2.00 Slabs
76 ASR	Н	3.00 Slabs
76 ASR	L	3.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: OXV Page 23

Network ID: OXV			Page 23
	Branch - Section ID: T	H01KN - 002	
Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01			Use: T-HANGAR
LCD: 1/1/2016 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 15,227.00 Length (ft): 515.00 Width (ft): 30.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP	PCI Fan	nily: lowaPCCTH_SE	
Slabs: 85 Slab Length (ft): 12.00 Slab Width (ft): 15.00 Joint Length (ft): 1,746.92	Section	Comments: avg slab length	
Last Insp Date: 3/17/2025 PCI: 81 Total Samples: 4 Surveyed: 3	Inspecti	on Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 80 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR	L	3.00 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	20.00 Slabs	
73 SHRINKAGE CR	N	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 74 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	L	4.00 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	20.00 Slabs	
73 SHRINKAGE CR	N	2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R	Sample	Comments:	

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG M 20.00 Slabs 76 ASR L 1.00 Slabs

APPENDIX D WORK HISTORY REPORT

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 6/30/2025

Network ID: OXV Page 1

Network: KNOXVILLE MUNICIPAL AIRPORT

Branch - Section ID: A01KN - 001

 LCD: 3/3/2015
 Length (ft):
 250.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 120.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 30,703.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
03-03-2015	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P505 PCC
03-02-2015	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" GRANULAR SUBBASE (IDOT 2111)
03-01-2015	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" P158 FLY ASH TREATED SUBGRADE
06-01-2009	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	EST
06-01-2009	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	EST
06-01-1967	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: A02KN - 001

 LCD: 6/1/1991
 Length (ft):
 120.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 300.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 37,012.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
10-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-1991	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: A02KN - 002

 LCD: 1/3/2012
 Length (ft):
 130.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 120.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 16,055.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
01-03-2012	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-505 SURFACE
01-02-2012	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" SUBBASE
01-01-2012	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" P-158 SUBGRADE

Branch - Section ID: A02KN - 003

 LCD: 8/3/2023
 Length (ft):
 120.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 120.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 14,400.00

Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major	Comments
Date	Code	Description		(in)	MR	
08-03-2023	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$115,200.00	6.00	True	6" P-501 PCC PAVEMENT
08-02-2023	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	10.00	False	10" P-209 CRUSHED AGGREGATE BASE COURSE
08-01-2023	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" P-156 CEMENT TREATED SUBGRADE

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 6/30/2025

Network ID: OXV Page 2

Branch - Section ID: R15KN - 001

3,075.00 LCD: 3/3/2020 Length (ft): Use: RUNWAY Width (ft): 75.00 Rank: P True Area (sf): 244,244.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
03-03-2020	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$61,930.00	6.00	True	6" PCC pavement
03-02-2020	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	10.00	False	10" recycled aggregate base course (P-219)
03-01-2020	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" cement treated subgrade (P-157)
10-01-2012	PA-AD	Patching - AC Deep	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
10-01-2012	CS-AC	Crack Sealing - AC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2003	OL-AC	Overlay - AC	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST
06-03-1967	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P501 PCC (ASSUMED MAT. CODE)
06-02-1967	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P154 SUBBASE
06-01-1967	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P152 COMPACTED SUBGRADE

Branch - Section ID: R15KN - 002

Length (ft): LCD: 6/30/1990 923.00 Width (ft): Use: RUNWAY 75.00 Rank: P True Area (sf): 69,339.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
03-02-2020	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	PCC joint seal
03-01-2020	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	Partial depth patch
10-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
10-01-2012	GR-PP	Grinding (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-30-1990	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: TAKN - 001

LCD: 3/3/2015 Length (ft): 705.00 Use: TAXIWAY Width (ft): 35.00 Rank: P True Area (sf): 26,202.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
03-03-2015	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P505 PCC
03-02-2015	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" GRANULAR SUBBASE (IDOT 2111)
03-01-2015	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" P158 FLY ASH TREATED SUBGRADE
06-01-2009	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	EST
06-01-2009	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	EST
06-01-1967	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 6/30/2025

Network ID: OXV

Branch - Section ID: TBKN - 001

 LCD: 6/4/1991
 Length (ft):
 1,600.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 56,546.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
10-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-04-1991	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P501 PCC
06-03-1991	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P154 SUBBASE
06-02-1991	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P155 FLY ASH TREATED SUBGRADE
06-01-1991	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P152 COMPACTED SUBGRADE

Branch - Section ID: TBKN - 002

 LCD: 6/3/1994
 Length (ft):
 2,400.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 83,867.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
10-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-03-1994	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P501 PCC SURFACE
06-02-1994	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P154 SUBBASE
06-01-1994	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P155 SUBGRADE
05-31-1994	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P152 SUBGRADE COMPACTED SUBGRADE

Branch - Section ID: TBKN - 003

 LCD: 6/30/1990
 Length (ft):
 350.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 45.83

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 12,493.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
03-02-2020	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	Partial depth patch
03-02-2020	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
03-01-2020	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
10-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-30-1990	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: TBKN - 004

 LCD: 6/1/1991
 Length (ft):
 50.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 2,585.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments	
10-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-	
06-01-1991	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-	

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 6/30/2025

Network ID: OXV Page 4

Branch - Section ID: TBKN - 005

 LCD: 3/3/2020
 Length (ft):
 140.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 7,323.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
03-03-2020	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$61,930.00	6.00	True	6" PCC pavement
03-02-2020	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	10.00	False	10" recycled aggregate base course (P-219)
03-01-2020	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" cement treated subgrade (P-157)
10-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-1991	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: TCKN - 001

 LCD: 6/30/1991
 Length (ft):
 118.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 5,570.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
10-01-2012	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-30-1991	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: TH01KN - 001

 LCD: 1/1/1967
 Length (ft):
 1,010.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 25.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 24,950.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
01-01-1967	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	UNKNOWN

Branch - Section ID: TH01KN - 002

 LCD: 1/1/2016
 Length (ft):
 515.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 30.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 15,227.00

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
01-01-2016	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	ESTIMATED PER GOOGLE EARTH
06-01-2009	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	EST
06-01-2009	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	EST
06-01-1967	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

APPENDIX E

LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND UNIT COST TABLES

Table E-1. Localized preventive maintenance policy, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action
Alligator Cracking	Low	Monitor
Alligator Cracking	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Alligator Cracking	High	Asphalt Patch
Bleeding	N/A	Monitor
Block Cracking	Low	Monitor
Block Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Block Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Corrugation	Low	Monitor
Corrugation	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Corrugation	High	Asphalt Patch
Depression	Low	Monitor
Depression	Medium	Monitor
Depression	High	Asphalt Patch
Jet-Blast Erosion	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Joint Reflection Cracking	Low	Monitor
Joint Reflection Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Joint Reflection Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	Low	Monitor
L&T Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Oil Spillage	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Patching	Low	Monitor
Patching	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Patching	High	Asphalt Patch
Polished Aggregate	N/A	Monitor
Raveling	Low	Monitor
Raveling	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Raveling	High	Asphalt Patch
Rutting	Low	Monitor
Rutting	Medium	Monitor
Rutting	High	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	Low	Monitor
Shoving	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	High	Asphalt Patch
Slippage Cracking	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Swelling	Low	Monitor
Swelling	Medium	Monitor
Swelling	High	Asphalt Patch
Weathering	Low	Monitor
Weathering	Medium	Monitor
Weathering	High	Asphalt Patch

Table E-2. Localized preventive maintenance policy, PCC pavements.

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action
ASR	Low	Monitor
ASR	Medium	Slab Replacement
ASR	High	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Low	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Medium	Slab Replacement
Blowup	High	Slab Replacement
Corner Break	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Corner Break	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Corner Break	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Durability Cracking	Low	Monitor
Durability Cracking	Medium	Full Depth Patch
Durability Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Faulting	Low	Monitor
Faulting	Medium	Grinding
Faulting	High	Slab Replacement
Joint Seal Damage	Low	Monitor
Joint Seal Damage	Medium	Joint Seal
Joint Seal Damage	High	Joint Seal
LTD Cracking	Low	Monitor
LTD Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—PCC
LTD Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Patching (Small and Large)	Low	Monitor
Patching (Small and Large)	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Patching (Small and Large)	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Popouts	N/A	Monitor
Pumping	N/A	Monitor
Scaling	Low	Monitor
Scaling	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Scaling	High	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Shattered Slab	Medium	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	High	Slab Replacement
Shrinkage Cracking	N/A	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Low	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	High	Partial Depth PCC Patch

Table E-3. 2025 unit costs for localized preventive maintenance actions.

Maintenance Action	Unit Cost		
Asphalt Patch—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$15.90/sf		
Crack Sealing—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$2.72/If		
Partial Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$40.74/sf		
Full Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$18.19/sf		
Crack Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.27/lf		
Joint Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.27/lf		
Grinding—PCC Pavement	\$0.39/sf		
Slab Replacement—PCC Pavement	\$18.19/sf		

Table Note: The unit cost estimates are based on broad statewide numbers and should be adjusted to reflect local costs.

Table E-4. 2025 unit costs (per square foot) based on pavement type and PCI ranges.

Pavement Type	PCI Range 0-40	PCI Range 40-50	PCI Range 50-60	PCI Range 60-70	PCI Range 70–80	PCI Range 80-90	PCI Range 90-100
Asphalt- surfaced	\$11.29	\$5.34	\$5.34	\$5.34	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
PCC	\$18.86	\$8.92	\$8.92	\$8.92	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Table Notes:

- The unit cost estimates are based on broad statewide numbers and should be adjusted to reflect local costs.
- Pavement Type: Asphalt-surfaced = AC (asphalt cement concrete), AAC (asphalt overlay on AC), or APC (asphalt overlay on PCC); PCC = portland cement concrete

APPENDIX F

YEAR 2025 LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE DETAILS

Year 2025 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details

Table F-1. Year 2025 localized preventive maintenance details.

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2025 Estimated Cost
A01KN	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	73	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.27	\$5,491
A01KN	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	123	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.27	\$9,335
A01KN	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	2	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.27	\$74
A01KN	01	Shattered Slab	Low	2	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.27	\$148
A02KN	01	Corner Break	Low	2	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.27	\$48
A02KN	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	9	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$40.74	\$980
A02KN	01	Corner Spalling	High	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$40.74	\$196
A02KN	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	261	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.27	\$18,930
A02KN	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	11	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$40.74	\$2,822
A02KN	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	9	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.27	\$348
A02KN	02	Corner Break	Low	4	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.27	\$102
A02KN	02	Corner Break	Medium	3	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$18.19	\$1,492
A02KN	02	Corner Spalling	Medium	3	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$40.74	\$278
A02KN	02	Corner Spalling	High	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$40.74	\$139
A02KN	02	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	113	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.27	\$7,983
A02KN	02	Joint Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$40.74	\$334
A02KN	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	14	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.27	\$543
A02KN	02	Shattered Slab	Low	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.27	\$99
A02KN	02	Shattered Slab	Medium	1	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$18.19	\$3,270
R15KN	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	7	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$40.74	\$1,758
R15KN	02	Corner Break	Low	8	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.27	\$213
R15KN	02	Corner Spalling	Medium	45	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$40.74	\$4,926

Year 2025 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details

Table F-1. Year 2025 localized preventive maintenance details (continued).

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2025 Estimated Cost
R15KN	02	Corner Spalling	High	3	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$40.74	\$290
R15KN	02	Faulting	Medium	5	Slabs	Grinding (Localized)	\$0.39	\$26
R15KN	02	Joint Seal Damage	High	63	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.27	\$4,716
R15KN	02	Joint Spalling	Medium	13	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$40.74	\$3,477
R15KN	02	Joint Spalling	High	3	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$40.74	\$869
R15KN	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	13	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.27	\$540
R15KN	02	Small Patch	Medium	8	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$18.19	\$388
TAKN	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	121	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.27	\$7,560
TAKN	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	40	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.27	\$2,520
TAKN	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$40.74	\$506
TAKN	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	12	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.27	\$434
TAKN	01	Small Patch	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$18.19	\$94
TAKN	01	Small Patch	High	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$18.19	\$94
TBKN	01	Blow-Up	Medium	3	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$18.19	\$7,143
TBKN	01	Corner Break	Medium	3	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$18.19	\$1,570
TBKN	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	8	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$40.74	\$879
TBKN	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	385	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.27	\$25,130
TBKN	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	11	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$40.74	\$2,814
TBKN	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	13	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.27	\$530
TBKN	02	ASR	Medium	3	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$18.19	\$9,080
TBKN	02	Corner Spalling	Medium	3	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$40.74	\$373
TBKN	02	Faulting	Medium	3	Slabs	Grinding (Localized)	\$0.39	\$16

Year 2025 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details

Table F-1. Year 2025 localized preventive maintenance details (continued).

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2025 Estimated Cost
TBKN	02	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	408	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.27	\$26,664
TBKN	02	Joint Seal Damage	High	163	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.27	\$10,666
TBKN	02	Joint Spalling	Medium	3	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$40.74	\$894
TBKN	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	3	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.27	\$135
TBKN	03	ASR	Medium	9	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$18.19	\$20,183
TBKN	03	Corner Spalling	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$40.74	\$172
TBKN	03	LTD Cracking	Medium	3	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.27	\$112
TBKN	04	Joint Seal Damage	High	21	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.27	\$1,145
TCKN	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$40.74	\$219
TCKN	01	Corner Spalling	High	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$40.74	\$110
TCKN	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	47	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.27	\$2,672
TH01KN	02	Corner Break	Low	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.27	\$38
TH01KN	02	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	28	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.27	\$1,904
TH01KN	02	Joint Seal Damage	High	57	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.27	\$3,808

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340. L&T cracking = longitudinal and transverse cracking; LTD cracking = longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal cracking; ASR = alkali-silica reaction.
- 3. The costs provided are of a general nature for the entire State and may require adjustments to reflect specific conditions at Knoxville Municipal Airport.



PREPARED FOR

Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/modes-travel/aviation

AUGUST 2025