### Iowa City Municipal Airport

**Pavement Management Report** 

#### PREPARED BY

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 1908 South First Street, Suite 201 Champaign, Illinois 61820 (217) 398-3977 www.appliedpavement.com

#### AUGUST 2025







### IOWA CITY MUNICIPAL AIRPORT PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT

#### **Prepared For:**



Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1101 https://iowadot.gov/modes-travel/aviation

#### **Prepared By:**



Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 1908 South First Street, Suite 201 Champaign, Illinois 61820 217-398-3977 https://www.appliedpavement.com

#### In Association With:



Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers 819 Second Street NE Independence, Iowa 50644 319-334-7211

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

INTRODUCTION	
PAVEMENT INVENTORY	3
PAVEMENT EVALUATION	
Pavement Evaluation Procedure	
Pavement Evaluation Results	
Inspection Comments	
Runways	
Taxiways	
Aprons	
T-Hangars	
PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM	
Analysis Parameters	
Critical PCIs	
Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs	
Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs	
Budget and Inflation Rate	
Analysis Approach	
Analysis Results	
General Maintenance Recommendations	
FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)	
FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program	
SUMMARY	
REFERENCES	25
LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.	
Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at Iowa City Municipal Airport	
Figure 3. Iowa City Municipal Airport network definition map	
Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces	
Figure 5. PCI versus repair type	
Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Iowa City Municipal Airport	
Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Iowa City Municipal Airport	9
Figure 8. Iowa City Municipal Airport PCI map	10
LIST OF TABLES	
T	4.4
Table 1. 2025 pavement evaluation results	
Table 1. 2025 pavement evaluation results  Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario  Table 3. Pavement inspection report	16

#### **APPENDIXES**

Appendix A. Cause of Distress Tables	A-1
Appendix B. Inspection Photographs	
Appendix C. Inspection Report	
Appendix D. Work History Report	
Appendix E. Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Cost Tables	
Appendix F. Year 2025 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details	

Introduction August 2025

#### INTRODUCTION

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. (APTech), with assistance from Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers (Robinson), updated the Airport Pavement Management System (APMS) for the Iowa Department of Transportation, Modal Transportation Bureau (Iowa DOT). The APMS provides a means to monitor the condition of the pavements within the State of Iowa and to proactively plan for their preservation.

As part of this project, pavement conditions at lowa City Municipal Airport were visually assessed in March 2025 using the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) procedure. During a PCI inspection, the types, severities, and amounts of distress present on the pavement surface are quantified. This information is then used to develop a composite index that represents the overall condition of the pavement in numerical terms, ranging from 0 (*Failed*) to 100 (*Excellent*). The PCI provides an overall measure of condition and an indication of the level of work that will be required to maintain or repair a pavement. The distress information also provides insight into what is causing the pavement to deteriorate, which is the first step in selecting the appropriate repair action to correct the problem.

Programmed into an APMS, PCI data and results are used to determine when preventive maintenance actions (such as crack or joint sealing) are advisable and to identify the most cost-effective time to perform major rehabilitation (such as an overlay or whitetopping). Delaying maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) until a pavement structure has seriously degraded can cost many times more than if M&R was applied earlier in a pavement's life cycle, as shown in Figure 1. From a safety perspective, pavement distresses, such as cracks and loose debris, may pose risks in terms of the potential for aircraft tire damage and the ability of a pilot to safely control aircraft.




Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.

Introduction August 2025

The pavement evaluation results for Iowa City Municipal Airport are presented within this report and can be used by Iowa City Municipal Airport, the Iowa DOT, and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement M&R actions at the airport. In addition to this report, the web-based interactive pavement data visualization tool IDEA, containing the information collected during this project, was updated and may be accessed from the Iowa DOT's website or directly (Iowa APMS IDEA).

Pavement Inventory August 2025

#### PAVEMENT INVENTORY

The project began with a review of the existing inventory information pertaining to the pavements at Iowa City Municipal Airport. The date of original construction, along with the date of any subsequent rehabilitation; the location of completed work; and the type of work undertaken were gathered. The information was used to update the pavement management database and associated maps, as necessary, to account for pavement-related work that had been undertaken since the last time the airport was evaluated in 2021.

The pavement network at Iowa City Municipal Airport was then divided into branches, sections, and sample units. A branch is a single entity that serves a distinct function. For example, a runway is considered a branch because it serves a single function (allowing aircraft to take off and land). Taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are also separate branches.


Each branch was further divided into sections. Traditionally, sections are defined as parts of the branch that share common attributes, such as cross section, date of last construction, traffic level, and performance. Using this approach, if a runway was built in 1968 and then extended in 1984, it would contain two separate sections.


To estimate the overall condition of a pavement section, each section was subdivided into sample units. Portions of these sample units were evaluated during the pavement inspection, and the collected information was extrapolated to predict the overall section condition and quantities of distress.

Approximately 1,413,100 square feet of pavement were evaluated at lowa City Municipal Airport, as illustrated in Figure 2. This figure also shows the area-weighted age in years of the pavements at the time of the inspection. Figure 3 provides a map that details how the pavement network was divided into management units and identifies the sample units that were evaluated during the pavement inspection at lowa City Municipal Airport.

Pavement Inventory August 2025

Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at Iowa City Municipal Airport.





#### **PAVEMENT EVALUATION**

#### **Pavement Evaluation Procedure**

APTech visually inspected the pavements at Iowa City Municipal Airport using the PCI procedure described in:

- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C, <u>Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of</u> Airport Pavements.
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B, <u>Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)</u>.
- ASTM D5340, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.

During the PCI inspection, a cursory inspection of the entirety of a pavement section was performed. Sample units identified for more detailed inspection were verified, and adjustments to the selected sample units for inspection were made as needed to ensure an accurate assessment of the pavement's condition. Data pertaining to the types, severities, and quantities of observed pavement distresses were then collected within each sample unit. These data were then used to calculate the composite PCI of each pavement section. The PCI provides a numerical indication of overall pavement condition, as illustrated in Figure 4. The PCI ranges from a value of 0, which represents a pavement in a *Failed* condition, to a value of 100, which represents a pavement in *Excellent* condition with no visible signs of deterioration. It is important to note that factors other than overall PCI need to be considered when identifying the appropriate type of repair, including types of distress present and rate of deterioration. Also, since the PCI does not assess the structural integrity or capacity of the pavement structure, further testing may be needed to validate and refine the treatment strategy.

PCI: 100

PCI: 83

Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces.

Note: Photographs shown are not specific to Iowa City Municipal Airport.

PCI: 66

Generally, pavements with relatively high PCIs that are not exhibiting significant load-related distress will benefit from preventive maintenance actions, such as crack sealing or joint resealing. As the PCI drops, the pavements may require major rehabilitation, such as an overlay or whitetopping. In some situations where the PCI has dropped low enough, reconstruction may be the only viable alternative due to the substantial damage to the pavement structure. Figure 5 illustrates how the appropriate repair type varies with the PCI of a pavement section and provides the corresponding colors used for the maps and charts in this report for each range of PCIs.

PCI Range Repair

86-100

71-85

Preventive Maintenance

56-70

Major Rehabilitation

26-40

11-25

Reconstruction

Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.

The types of distress identified during the PCI inspection provide insight into the cause of pavement deterioration, which is useful when selecting M&R strategies. Understanding the cause of distress helps in selecting a rehabilitation alternative that corrects the cause and thus eliminates or delays its recurrence. PCI distress types are characterized as:


- Load-related—These distress types are defined as being caused by aircraft or vehicular traffic and may indicate a structural deficiency. Examples of load-related distress include alligator cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements and corner breaks on portland cement concrete (PCC) pavements.
- Climate/durability-related—These distress types often signify the presence of aged or environmentally susceptible (or both) material and include durability-related issues.
   Examples of climate/durability-related distress include weathering on asphalt-surfaced pavements, which is climate-related, and durability cracking on PCC pavements, which is durability-related.
- Other—Distress types that fall into this category cannot be attributed solely to load or climate/durability. Examples of this type of distress include depressions on asphaltsurfaced pavements and shrinkage cracking on PCC pavements.

Appendix A identifies the distress types considered during a PCI inspection and describes the likely cause of each distress type. It should be noted that a PCI is based on visual signs of pavement deterioration and does not provide a measure of structural capacity.

#### **Pavement Evaluation Results**

The pavements at lowa City Municipal Airport were inspected in March 2025. The 2025 area-weighted condition of lowa City Municipal Airport is 87, with conditions ranging from 19 to 100 (on a scale of 0 [failed] to 100 [excellent]). During the previous pavement inspection in 2021, the area-weighted PCI of the airport was 90.

Figure 6 summarizes the overall condition of the pavements at lowa City Municipal Airport, and Figure 7 presents area-weighted condition (average PCI adjusted to account for the relative size of the pavement sections) by branch use. Figure 8 is a map that displays the condition of the evaluated pavements. Table 1 summarizes the results of the pavement evaluation. Appendix B presents photographs taken during the PCI inspection, and Appendix C contains detailed information on the distress types observed during the visual survey. Appendix D includes detailed work history information that was collected during the record review process.








Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Iowa City Municipal Airport.

(Values on chart are area weighted.)





Pavement Evaluation

Table 1. 2025 pavement evaluation results.

Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2025 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distress
A01IC	01	PCC	25,168	6/2/2020	98	0	81	19	Joint Seal Damage, Small Patch
A01IC A02IC	01	PCC	44,939	6/1/2020	84	0	12	88	ASR, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage
A02IC A02IC	02	PCC	22,470	7/1/2016	98	0	100	0	Joint Seal Damage
R07IC	01	PCC	243,002	4/3/2009	95	0	39	61	Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Small Patch
R07IC	02	PCC	193,887	10/3/2009	97	0	97	3	Joint Seal Damage, Small Patch
R07IC	03	PCC	77,286	10/2/2008	97	35	65	0	Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
R12IC	01	PCC	283,221	10/3/2009	91	0	92	8	Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage
TAIC	01	PCC	10,933	10/15/2008	98	0	78	22	Joint Seal Damage, Scaling
TAIC	02	PCC	197,985	6/3/2012	99	53	29	18	Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Small Patch
TBIC	01	APC	32,101	6/1/2013	51	0	100	0	Joint Reflection Cracking, L&T Cracking, Raveling, Weathering
TBIC	02	PCC	16,542	6/2/2020	96	0	50	50	Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage
TBIC	04	APC	10,500	6/1/2013	50	0	99	1	Depression, Joint Reflection Cracking, L&T Cracking, Patching, Weathering
TBIC	05	PCC	27,221	6/3/2012	100	0	0	0	No Distress
TBIC	06	PCC	11,868	10/15/2009	95	0	0	100	Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling
TH01IC	01	PCC	8,395	6/3/2012	96	0	45	55	Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, Small Patch
TH01IC	02	APC	9,746	7/1/2009	40	22	75	3	Alligator Cracking, Depression, Joint Reflection Cracking, L&T Cracking, Raveling, Swelling, Weathering
TH01IC	03	PCC	8,263	1/1/1945	19	79	8	13	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Scaling, Shattered Slab
TH01IC	04	AAC	85,779	7/1/2009	35	53	47	0	Alligator Cracking, L&T Cracking, Patching, Raveling, Rutting, Weathering

Table 1. 2023 pavement evaluation results (continued).

Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2025 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distress
TH02IC	01	AAC	20,287	1/1/2007	38	48	52	0	Alligator Cracking, Depression, L&T Cracking, Patching, Raveling, Rutting, Weathering
TH02IC	02	PCC	53,361	1/1/2000	59	53	18	29	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Scaling, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking
TH02IC	03	PCC	3,440	10/1/2016	93	0	100	0	Joint Seal Damage
TH02IC	04	PCC	5,530	10/1/2012	85	0	40	60	Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage
TH02IC	05	PCC	6,300	7/1/2017	95	0	0	100	Joint Spalling
TH02IC	06	PCC	14,870	6/3/2021	100	0	0	0	No Distress

#### Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. LCD = last construction date.
- 4. Distress due to load includes distress types that are attributed to a structural deficiency in the pavement, such as alligator cracking or rutting on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on PCC pavements.
- 5. Distress due to climate or durability includes distress types that are attributed to either the aging of the pavement and the effects of the environment (such as weathering, raveling, or block cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements) or to a materials-related problem (such as durability cracking or alkali-silica reaction [ASR] on PCC pavements). If materials-related distresses were recorded during the inspection, further laboratory testing is required to definitively determine the type present.
- 6. Distress due to other refers to distress types that are not attributed to one factor but rather may be caused by a combination of factors.
- 7. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340. L&T cracking = longitudinal and transverse cracking; LTD cracking = longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal cracking; ASR = alkali-silica reaction.

lowa City Municipal Airport Pavement Management Report

#### **Inspection Comments**

lowa City Municipal Airport was inspected on March 9, 2025. There were 24 pavement sections defined during the inspection. Alkali-silica reaction (ASR) was recorded at this airport according to the PCI procedure. The ASR was recorded where evidence of a precipitate was observed within some of the cracking in the PCC surface. It should be noted that laboratory testing in the form of petrographic analysis is the only definitive way to validate the presence of ASR; however, the formation of a precipitate is evidence of a reaction consistent with this type of materials-related distress.

#### Runways

Runway 07/25 consisted of three sections. Section 01 contained areas of low-severity faulting, joint seal damage, joint spalling, and small patching. Low- and medium-severity joint seal damage and low-severity small patching were recorded in Section 02. In Section 03, low-severity joint seal damage and longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal (LTD) cracking were identified.

Runway 12/30 was defined by one section with low-severity faulting, all severities of joint seal damage, and medium-severity joint spalling identified during the inspection.

#### **Taxiways**

Taxiway A consisted of two sections. Section 01 contained low-severity joint seal damage and scaling. Low-severity faulting, joint seal damage, and small patching were identified in Section 02. Atypical areas of low- and medium-severity LTD cracking were observed and recorded as additional sample units in accordance with ASTM D5340.

Taxiway B contained five sections. Low- and medium-severity joint reflection cracking, low-severity longitudinal and transverse (L&T) cracking and weathering, and low- and high-severity raveling were identified in Section 01. The low-severity L&T cracking was unsealed. Section 02 contained areas of low-severity joint seal damage and medium-severity joint spalling. In Section 04, low- and medium-severity joint reflection cracking and weathering and low-severity depression, L&T cracking, and patching were identified. The low-severity cracking was unsealed, and the medium-severity cracking was due to either unsealed crack widths that exceeded 1/4 inch or the development of secondary cracking. Section 05 was in *Excellent* condition with no distresses observed during the inspection. Low- and high-severity corner spalling and high-severity joint spalling were noted in Section 06.

#### **Aprons**

Apron 01 was defined by one section with low-severity joint seal damage and small patching observed during the inspection.

Apron 02 consisted of two sections. Section 01 contained low-severity ASR, joint seal damage, and joint spalling. Only low-severity joint seal damage was identified in Section 02.

#### **T-Hangars**

T-hangar 01 contained four sections. Section 01 had areas of high-severity corner spalling and low-severity faulting, joint seal damage, and small patching. Section 02 was in *Poor* condition with distresses including low- and medium-severity alligator cracking, weathering, and L&T cracking; low-severity depression and swelling; medium-severity joint reflection cracking; and all severities of raveling. The low-severity L&T cracking was observed in both unsealed and sealed conditions, and the medium-severity L&T cracking was due to either the development of secondary cracking, unsealed crack widths that exceeded 1/4 inch, or unsatisfactory crack

sealant. Section 03 was also in *Poor* condition with areas of high-severity corner break and joint seal damage; medium- and high-severity corner spalling, scaling, and shattered slab; and medium-severity LTD cracking observed. Additionally, Section 04 was in *Poor* condition. Medium-severity alligator cracking, raveling, and weathering; low- and medium-severity L&T cracking and rutting; and low-severity patching were observed during the inspection. The low-severity L&T cracking was unsealed, while the medium-severity L&T cracking was due to unsealed crack widths greater than 1/4 inch.

T-hangar 02 consisted of six sections. Section 01 was in *Poor* condition with low- and medium-severity alligator cracking, L&T cracking, and patching; low-severity depression, raveling, and rutting; and medium-severity weathering observed during the inspection. The low-severity L&T cracking was unsealed, and the medium-severity L&T cracking was recorded due to either the development of secondary cracking, unsealed crack widths that exceeded 1/4 inch, or unsatisfactory crack sealant. Section 02 contained low- and medium-severity corner break and LTD cracking, medium-severity corner spalling and scaling, high-severity joint seal damage, all severities of joint spalling, low-severity large patching and shattered slab, and shrinkage cracking. Medium-severity joint seal damage was the only distress recorded in Section 03. Section 04 had medium-severity faulting, medium-severity joint seal damage, and low-severity joint spalling. Section 05 contained only medium-severity joint spalling. Section 06 was in *Excellent* condition with no distresses observed during the inspection.

#### PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM

Using the information collected during the pavement inspection, the PAVER pavement management software was used to develop a 5-year M&R program for lowa City Municipal Airport. In addition, a 1-year plan for localized preventive maintenance (such as crack sealing and patching) was prepared.

#### **Analysis Parameters**

#### Critical PCIs

PAVER uses critical PCIs to determine whether localized preventive maintenance or major rehabilitation is the appropriate repair action. Above the critical PCI, localized preventive maintenance activities are recommended. Below the critical PCI, major rehabilitation actions, such as an overlay or reconstruction, are recommended. The lowa DOT set the critical PCIs at 65 for runways, 60 for taxiways, and 55 for aprons and T-hangars.

#### Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs

Localized preventive maintenance policies were developed for asphalt-surfaced and PCC pavements. These policies, shown in Appendix E, identify the localized preventive maintenance actions that the Iowa DOT considered appropriate to correct the different distress types and severities. The Iowa DOT provided unit costs for each of the localized preventive maintenance actions included in these policies, and these costs are detailed in Appendix E. Please note that this information is of a general nature for the entire State. The localized preventive maintenance policies and unit costs may require adjustments to reflect specific conditions at Iowa City Municipal Airport.

#### Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs

PAVER estimates the cost of major rehabilitation based on the predicted PCI of the pavement section. The lowa DOT provided the costs for major rehabilitation, and they are presented in Appendix E. If major rehabilitation is recommended in the 5-year program, further engineering investigation will be needed to identify the most appropriate rehabilitation action and to estimate the cost of such work more accurately.

#### **Budget and Inflation Rate**

An unlimited budget with a start date of July 1, 2025, and an inflation rate of 2.3 percent was used during the analysis.

#### **Analysis Approach**

The 5-year M&R program was prepared with the goal of maintaining the pavements above established critical PCIs. During this analysis, major rehabilitation was recommended for pavements in the year they dropped below their critical PCI. For the first year (2025) of the analysis only, a localized preventive maintenance plan was developed for those pavement sections that were above their critical PCI. If major rehabilitation was triggered for a section in 2026 or 2027, then localized preventive maintenance was not recommended for 2025. While localized preventive maintenance should be an annual undertaking at lowa City Municipal Airport, it is not possible to accurately predict the propagation of cracking and other distress types. Therefore, the airport should budget for maintenance every year and can use the 2025 localized preventive maintenance plan as a baseline for that work. As the pavements age, it can be assumed that the amount of localized preventive maintenance required will increase.

#### **Analysis Results**

A summary of the M&R program for Iowa City Municipal Airport is presented in Table 2. Detailed information on the recommended localized preventive maintenance plan for 2025 is provided in Appendix F.

Surface **Estimated** Year **Branch** Section Cost Type Type of Repair R07IC PCC Preventive Maintenance \$10,790 2025 02 2025 R12IC 01 PCC Preventive Maintenance \$96,866 PCC Preventive Maintenance 2025 **TAIC** 02 \$64 2025 **TBIC** 01 **APC** Major Rehabilitation \$171,421 **TBIC PCC** Preventive Maintenance 2025 02 \$708 **TBIC** 2025 04 **APC** Major Rehabilitation \$62,068 2025 **TBIC** 06 **PCC** Preventive Maintenance \$439 2025 TH01IC 01 PCC Preventive Maintenance \$131 2025 TH01IC 02 APC Major Rehabilitation \$110,028 2025 TH01IC 03 PCC Major Rehabilitation \$155,842 TH01IC 2025 04 AAC Major Rehabilitation \$968,409 2025 TH02IC 01 AAC Major Rehabilitation \$229,032 TH02IC Preventive Maintenance 2025 03 **PCC** \$1,735 2025 TH02IC 04 **PCC** Preventive Maintenance \$2,736

Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario.

Total Estimated Cost: \$2,309,000

\$789

\$498,127

Preventive Maintenance

Major Rehabilitation

#### Table Notes:

2025

2027

TH02IC

TH02IC

1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

05

02

2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.

PCC

PCC

- 3. Type of Repair: Major Rehabilitation, such as pavement reconstruction or an overlay; Localized Preventive Maintenance, such as crack sealing or patching.
- 4. The estimated costs provided are of a general nature for the entire State and may require adjustments to reflect specific conditions at Iowa City Municipal Airport.

The recommendations made in this report are based on a broad network-level analysis and meant to provide Iowa City Municipal Airport with an indication of the type of pavement-related work required during the next 5 years. Further engineering investigation may be necessary to identify which repair action is most appropriate. In addition, the cost estimates provided are based on overall unit costs for the entire State, and Iowa City Municipal Airport should adjust the plan to reflect local costs.

Because an unlimited budget was used in the analysis, it is possible that the pavement repair program may need to be adjusted to consider economic or operational constraints. The identification of a project need does not necessarily mean that State or Federal funding will be available in the year it is indicated. It is important to remember that regardless of the recommendations presented within this report, lowa City Municipal Airport is responsible for repairing pavements where existing conditions pose a hazard to safe operations.

#### **General Maintenance Recommendations**

In addition to the specific maintenance actions presented in Appendix F, it is recommended that the following strategies be considered for prolonging pavement life:

- Regularly inspect all safety areas of the airport and document all inspection activity. A
  sample form that can be used to perform these inspections is provided in Table 3 of this
  report.
- Provide a method of tracking all maintenance activities that occur because of these
  inspections. This documentation needs to be reported to the FAA and the lowa DOT.
  This information is used to update the APMS records and is required to remain in
  compliance with Public Law 103-305 (see the next section of this report for further
  information on this law).
- 3. Conduct an aggressive campaign against weed growth through timely herbicide applications and mowing programs of the safety areas. Vegetation growth in pavement cracks is destructive and significantly increases the rate of pavement deterioration.
- 4. Implement a periodic crack and joint sealing program. Keeping water and debris out of the pavement system by sealing cracks and joints is a proven and cost-effective method for extending the life of the pavement system.
- 5. Ensure all edges of pavement maintain the required 1.5-inch lip. This enables the water to drain away from the pavement system.
- 6. Closely monitor the movement of heavy equipment (particularly farming, construction, mowing, and fueling equipment) to make sure it is only operating on pavements that are designed to accommodate heavy loads. Failure to restrict heavy equipment to appropriate areas may result in the premature failure of airport pavements.

#### FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)

Because lowa City Municipal Airport is in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS), the airport sponsor is required to keep the airport in a viable operating condition. This includes maintaining airport pavements in accordance with Public Law 103-305. Public Law 103-305 states that after January 1, 1995, NPIAS airport sponsors must provide assurances or certifications that an airport has implemented an effective airport pavement maintenance management system (PMMS) before the airport will be considered for Federal funding of pavement replacement or reconstruction projects. To be in full compliance with the Federal law, the PMMS must include the following components at minimum: pavement inventory, pavement inspections, record keeping, information retrieval, and program funding.

This report serves as a complete pavement inventory and detailed inspection. To remain in compliance with the law, lowa City Municipal Airport will also need to undertake monthly drive-by inspections of pavement conditions and track pavement-related maintenance activities.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B provides detailed guidance pertaining to the requirements for an acceptable pavement management program. Appendix A of the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B outlines what needs to be included in a PMP to remain in compliance with this law and Grant Assurance #11. The following is a copy of this appendix, along with instructions for supplementing this report so that all requirements are met. Note that the italicized text is a direct quotation from the FAA Advisory Circular.

#### FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program

**A-1.0.** An effective PMP specifies the procedures to follow to assure that proper preventative and remedial pavement maintenance is performed. The program should identify funding or anticipated funding and other resources available to provide remedial and preventive maintenance activities. An airport sponsor may use any format deemed appropriate, but the program needs to, as a minimum, include the following:

#### A-1.1. Pavement Inventory. The following must be depicted:

a. Identification of all runways, taxiways, and aprons with pavement broken down into sections each having similar properties.

The network definition map provided in Figure 3 of this report shows the location of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars at lowa City Municipal Airport. If any new pavements are constructed or any pavement areas are permanently closed, this map must be updated. Project plans should be submitted to the lowa DOT after project completion.

b. Dimensions of pavement sections.

The dimensions of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are stored in the PAVER database. Appendix C provides information on length, width, and area. In addition, the network definition map provided in Figure 3 is drawn to scale. Any changes to pavement dimensions must be recorded.

c. Type of pavement surface.

The type of pavement for each section at Iowa City Municipal Airport is listed in Table 1 of this report and is also stored in the PAVER database. Any changes to the pavement type (through an overlay or reconstruction) must be recorded.

d. Year of construction and/or most recent major rehabilitation.

Dates for pavement construction, rehabilitation, or reconstruction must be recorded. The current pavement history for Iowa City Municipal Airport is provided in Appendix D of this report.

e. Whether AIP [Airport Improvement Program] or PFC [Passenger Facility Charge] funds were used to construct, reconstruct, or repair the pavement.

Funding sources for all pavement projects should be recorded.

**A-1.2. PMP Pavement Inspection Schedule.** Airports must perform a detailed inspection of airfield pavements at least once a year for the PMP. If a pavement condition index (PCI) survey is performed, as set forth in ASTM D5340, "Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys," the frequency of the detailed inspection by PCI surveys may be extended to three years. Less comprehensive routine daily, weekly, and monthly maintenance inspections required for operations should be addressed.

This report consists of a detailed inspection that will extend the inspection period to 3 years. It is the airport sponsor's responsibility to perform monthly drive-by inspections. A sample pavement inspection report form is provided in Table 3 of this report.

**A-1.3. Record Keeping.** The airport must record and keep on file complete information about all detailed inspections and maintenance performed until the pavement system is replaced. The

types of distress, their locations, and remedial action, scheduled or performed, must be documented. The minimum information recorded includes:

- a. Inspection date
- b. Location
- c. Distress types
- d. Maintenance scheduled or performed

Items A through C are satisfied by this inspection report. Item D is the responsibility of the airport, as is record keeping of the monthly drive-by inspections.

**A-1.4.** Information Retrieval. An airport sponsor may use any form of record keeping it deems appropriate so long as the information and records from the pavement survey can generate required reports, as necessary.

Keep this report, monthly drive-by inspection reports, construction updates, and all records of maintenance activities in a readily accessible location so that they can be easily retrieved as requested by the FAA.

Table 3. Pavement inspection report.

Inspected By:	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
A01IC	01					
A02IC	01					
A02IC	02					
R07IC	01					
R07IC	02					
R07IC	03					

Table 3. Pavement inspection report (continued).

Inspected By:		
Date Inspected:		

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
R12IC	01					
TAIC	01					
TAIC	02					
TBIC	01					
TBIC	02					
TBIC	04					

Table 3. Pavement inspection report (continued)
---

Inspected By:		
Date Inspected:		

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
TBIC	05					
TBIC	06					
TH01IC	01					
TH01IC	02					
TH01IC	03					
TH01IC	04					

Table 3	<b>Pavement</b>	inspection	report	(continued)
Table 5.	I aveillell	IIISPECTION	I CPUIL	CONTINUE

Inspected By:		
Date Inspected:		

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
TH02IC	01					
TH02IC	02					
TH02IC	03					
TH02IC	04					
TH02IC	05					
TH02IC	06					

Summary August 2025

#### **SUMMARY**

This report documents the results of the pavement evaluation conducted at Iowa City Municipal Airport. A visual inspection of the pavements in 2025 found that the overall condition of the pavement network is a PCI of 87. A 5-year pavement repair program, shown in Table 2, was generated for Iowa City Municipal Airport, which revealed that approximately \$2,309,000 needs to be expended on M&R. Iowa City Municipal Airport should utilize these study results to assist in planning for future maintenance needs as part of the airport CIP planning process.

References August 2025

#### **REFERENCES**

ASTM International (ASTM). Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys. D5340. ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA.

Federal Aviation Administration Authorization Act of 1994. Public Law No. 103-305. Vol 108 Stat. 1569. 1994.

Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). <u>Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements</u>. Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C. Federal Aviation Administration, Washington, DC.

Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). <u>Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)</u>. Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B. Federal Aviation Administration. Washington. DC.

US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 2009. <u>Asphalt Surfaced Airfields</u>. PAVER Distress Identification Manual. USACE, Washington, DC.

US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 2009. <u>Concrete Surfaced Airfields</u>. PAVER Distress Identification Manual. USACE, Washington, DC.

US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 2021. PAVER. (Software). US Army Corps of Engineers, Transportation Systems Center, Omaha, NE.

# APPENDIX A CAUSE OF DISTRESS TABLES

Cause of Distress Tables August 2025

Table A-1. Cause of pavement distress, asphalt-surfaced pavements (USACE 2009a).

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress	
Alligator Cracking	Fatigue failure of the asphalt surface under repeated traffic loading.	
Bleeding	Excessive amounts of asphalt cement or tars in the mix or low air void content, or both.	
Block Cracking	Shrinkage of the asphalt and daily temperature cycling; it is not load associated.	
Corrugation	Traffic action combined with an unstable pavement layer.	
Depression	Settlement of the foundation soil or can be "built up" during construction.	
Jet-Blast Erosion	Bituminous binder has been burned or carbonized.	
Joint Reflection Cracking	Movement of the concrete slab beneath the asphalt surface due to thermal and moisture changes.	
L&T Cracking	Cracks may be caused by (1) a poorly constructed paving lane joint, (2) shrinkage of the asphalt surface due to low temperatures or hardening of the asphalt, or (3) reflective cracking caused by cracks in an underlying PCC slab.	
Oil Spillage	Deterioration or softening of the pavement surface caused by the spilling of oil, fuel, or other solvents.	
Patching	N/A	
Polished Aggregate	Repeated traffic applications.	
Raveling	Asphalt binder may have hardened significantly, causing coarse aggregate pieces to dislodge.	
Rutting	Usually caused by consolidation or lateral movement of the materials due to traffic loads.	
Shoving	Where PCC pavements adjoin flexible pavements, PCC "growth" may shove the asphalt pavement.	
Slippage Cracking	Low-strength surface mix or poor bond between the surface and the next layer of the pavement structure.	
Swelling	Usually caused by frost action or by swelling soil.	
Weathering	Asphalt binder and/or fine aggregate may wear away as the pavement ages and hardens.	

Cause of Distress Tables August 2025

Table A-2. Cause of pavement distress, PCC pavements (USACE 2009b).

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress		
ASR	Chemical reaction of alkalis in the cement with certain reactive silica minerals. ASR may be accelerated by the use of chemical pavement deicers.		
Blowup	Incompressible materials in the joints.		
Corner Break	Load repetition combined with loss of support and curling stresses.		
Durability Cracking	Concrete's inability to withstand environmental factors, such as freeze-thaw cycles.		
Faulting	Upheaval or consolidation.		
Joint Seal Damage	Stripping of joint sealant, extrusion of joint sealant, weed growth, hardening of the filler (oxidation), loss of bond to the slab edges, or absence of sealant in the joint.		
LTD Cracking	Combination of load repetition, curling stresses, and shrinkage stresses.		
Patching (Small and Large)	N/A		
Popouts	Freeze-thaw action in combination with expansive aggregates.		
Pumping	Poor drainage, poor joint sealant.		
Scaling	Over finishing of concrete, deicing salts, improper construction, freeze-thaw cycles, and poor aggregate.		
Shattered Slab	Load repetition.		
Shrinkage Cracking	Setting and curing of the concrete.		
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Excessive stresses at the joint caused by infiltration of incompressible materials or traffic loads; weak concrete at the joint combined with traffic loads.		

# APPENDIX B INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS

A01IC-01. Overview.



A01IC-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 08).



A01IC-01. Small Patching (Sample Unit No. 06).



A02IC-01. Overview.



A02IC-01 ASR. (Sample Unit No. 04) (1).



A02IC-01. ASR (Sample Unit No. 04) (2).



A02IC-01. ASR (Sample Unit No. 13).



A02IC-02. Overview.



A02IC-02. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 08).



R07IC-01. Overview.



R07IC-01. Small Patching (Additional Sample Unit No. 36) (1).



R07IC-01. Small Patching (Additional Sample Unit No. 36) (2).



R07IC-01 Small Patching. (Additional Sample Unit No. 36) (3).



R07IC-01. Small Patching (Sample Unit No. 28).




R07IC-02. Overview.



R07IC-02. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 05).



R07IC-02 Small Patching. (Sample Unit No. 08).



R07IC-03. Overview.



R07IC-03. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 03).




R07IC-03. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 16) (1).



R07IC-03. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 16) (2).



R07IC-03. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 16) (3).




R07IC-03. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 16) (4).



R12IC-01. Overview.



R12IC-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 45).



R12IC-01. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 35).




R12IC-01. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 75).



TAIC-01. Overview.




TAIC-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 04).






TAIC-02. Overview.




TAIC-02. Faulting (Sample Unit No. 015).



TAIC-02. Joint Seal Damage (Additional Sample Unit No. 089).



TAIC-02. LTD Cracking (Additional Sample Unit No. 089).



TAIC-02. LTD Cracking (Additional Sample Unit No. 090).



TBIC-01. Overview.



TBIC-01. Joint Reflection Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



TBIC-02. Overview.



TBIC-02. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 01).



TBIC-02. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 02).



TBIC-04. Overview.



TBIC-04. L&T Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01) (1).



TBIC-04. L&T Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01) (2).




TBIC-04. Weathering (Sample Unit No. 01).



TBIC-05. Overview.



TBIC-06. Overview.



TBIC-06. Corner Spalling (Sample Unit No. 04).




TBIC-06. Joint Spalling (Additional Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01IC-01. Overview.



TH01IC-01. Small Patching (Sample Unit No. 04) (1).



TH01IC-01. Small Patching (Sample Unit No. 04) (2).



TH01IC-02. Overview.



TH01IC-02. Raveling (Sample Unit No. 02).



TH01IC-02. Swelling (Sample Unit No. 02).



TH01IC-03. Overview.



TH01IC-03. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 02).



TH01IC-04. Overview.



TH01IC-04. Alligator Cracking (Sample Unit No. 17).



TH01IC-04. Patching (Sample Unit No. 17).



TH02IC-01. Overview.



TH02IC-01. Alligator Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01) (1).



TH02IC-01. Alligator Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01) (2).



TH02IC-01. Alligator Cracking (Sample Unit No. 03).



TH02IC-01. Patching (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH02IC-01. Patching (Sample Unit No. 03).



TH02IC-01. Rutting (Sample Unit No. 03).



TH02IC-02. Overview.



TH02IC-02. Corner Break (Sample Unit No. 08).



TH02IC-02. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 14).



TH02IC-02. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 13).



TH02IC-02. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 14).



TH02IC-03. Overview.



TH02IC-03. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH02IC-04. Overview.



TH02IC-04. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 02).



TH02IC-05. Overview.



TH02IC-05. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH02IC-06. Overview.



# APPENDIX C INSPECTION REPORT

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 1

Branch - Section ID: A01IC - 001

Branch Name: APRON 01 Use: APRON

PCI Family: IowaPCCAP SE CommEnhanced

Inspection Comments:

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

LCD: 6/2/2020

Section Area (sf): 25,168.00

Length (ft): 241.00 Width (ft): 100.00 From: BUILDING

To: TAXIWAY 01 SECT 01

Slabs: 161 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 3,670.77

Last Insp Date: 3/9/2025

PCI: 98 Total Samples: 8 Surveyed: 5

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 06

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 96

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 20.00 Slabs 66 SMALL PATCH L 2.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 07

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 21.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 08

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 16.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW		P	age 2
	Branch - Section	on ID: A02IC - 001	
Branch Name: APRON 02		Use: AF	PRON
LCD: 6/1/2007 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 44,939.00 Length (ft): 240.00 Width (ft): 192.00 From: . To: TAXIWAY 01 SECT 01	Р	CI Family: IowaPCCAP_SE_CommEnhanced	
Slabs: 250 Slab Length (ft): 15.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.00 Joint Length (ft): 6,319.55	S	ection Comments: ESTIMATED DATE	
Last Insp Date: 3/9/2025 PCI: 84 Total Samples: 16 Surveyed: 6	lr	spection Comments:	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 78 Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00 65 JT SEAL DMG	L	ample Comments:  16.00 Slabs	
76 ASR	L	10.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 05  Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 76 Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00  65 JT SEAL DMG 74 JOINT SPALL	L L	ample Comments:  16.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
76 ASR Sample Number: 07	L	11.00 Slabs	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 89 Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00	S	ample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 76 ASR	L L	16.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 10			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 86 Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00	S	ample Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG 76 ASR	L L	16.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 13			
Sample Type: R	9	ample Comments:	

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 92

Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00

65 JT SEAL DMG 16.00 Slabs 76 ASR L 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 3

Sample Number: 16

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 85

Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 16.00 Slabs 76 ASR L 4.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 4

Branch - Section ID: A02IC - 002

PCI Family: IowaPCCAP SE CommEnhanced

Branch Name: APRON 02 Use: APRON

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

LCD: 7/1/2016

Carik. I

Section Area (sf): 22,470.00

Length (ft): 240.00 Width (ft): 96.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 125 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 15.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.00 Joint Length (ft): 3,042.81

Last Insp Date: 3/9/2025 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 98 Total Samples: 8 Surveyed: 5

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 16.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 04

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 16.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 05

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 16.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 07

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 16.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 08

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 16.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW			Page 5
	Branch - Section	ID: R07IC - 001	
Branch Name: RUNWAY 07/25			Use: RUNWAY
LCD: 4/3/2009 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 243,002.00 Length (ft): 2,430.00 Width (ft): 100.00 From: RUNWAY 07 SECT 01 To: RUNWAY END 25 (07/25)	PCI	Family: lowaPCCRW_SE_Enhanced	
Slabs: 1,296 Slab Length (ft): 15.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 33,110.27		tion Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 3/9/2025 PCI: 95 Total Samples: 64 Surveyed: 8	Insp	ection Comments:	
Sample Number: 05			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		nple Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	L	20.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 08  Sample Type: R  Sample PCI: 98  Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00  65 JT SEAL DMG	Sam L	nple Comments: 20.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 25	L	20.00 Slabs	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 96 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG	L	nple Comments: 20.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 28	2	anla Camananta	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 96 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG 66 SMALL PATCH	San L L	20.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 36		2.30 51685	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 90 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sam	nple Comments:	
CE IT CEAL DMC	ı	20.00 01-6-	

20.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

65 JT SEAL DMG

66 SMALL PATCH 71 FAULTING

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 6

Sample Number: 37

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 97

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 20.00 Slabs 66 SMALL PATCH L 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 40

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 53

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 90

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 20.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 2.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 7

Branch - Section ID: R07IC - 002

Branch Name: RUNWAY 07/25

Use: RUNWAY

**Inspection Comments:** 

LCD: 10/3/2009 PCI Family: lowaPCCRW\_SE\_Enhanced

Surface Type: PCC Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 193,887.00

Length (ft): 1,939.00 Width (ft): 100.00

From: RUNWAY 07 SECT 02 To: RUNWAY END 25 (07/25)

Slabs: 1,034 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 15.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 26,397.90

Last Insp Date: 3/9/2025

PCI: 97

Total Samples: 52 Surveyed: 8

Sample Number: 05

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 08

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 97

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 20.00 Slabs 66 SMALL PATCH L 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 21

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 24

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG M 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 33

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 36

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 20.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 8

Sample Number: 45

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 48

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 20.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW				
	Branch - Section ID:	R07IC - 003		
Branch Name: RUNWAY 07/25			Use: RUNWA	
LCD: 10/2/2008 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 77,286.00 Length (ft): 773.00 Width (ft): 100.00 From: RUNWAY END 07 (07/25) To: RUNWAY 07 SECT 06	PCI Fam	ily: lowaPCCRW_SE_Enhanced		
Slabs: 412 Slab Length (ft): 15.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 10,462.44	Section (	Comments:		
Last Insp Date: 3/9/2025 PCI: 97 Total Samples: 22 Surveyed: 7	Inspectio	n Comments:		
Sample Number: 03				
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample (	Comments:		
65 JT SEAL DMG	L	20.00 Slabs		
Sample Number: 06				
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG	Sample (	Comments: 20.00 Slabs		
Sample Number: 07		20000 0.0000		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JT SEAL DMG	Sample (	Comments: 20.00 Slabs		
Sample Number: 13	L	20.00 Slabs		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample 0	Comments:		
65 JT SEAL DMG	L	20.00 Slabs		
Sample Number: 16				
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Comments:		
63 LINEAR CR	L	1.00 Slabs		

Sample Number: 17

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG

65 JT SEAL DMG L 20.00 Slabs

L

20.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 10

Sample Number: 20

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 20.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

raveilletti Dalabase. IA 2024			Generale Date. 0/11/202	
Network ID: IOW	Page 1			
	Branch - Section ID: R12IC - 001			
Branch Name: RUNWAY 12/30			Use: RUNWA	
LCD: 10/3/2009 Surface Type: PCC Rank: S Section Area (sf): 283,221.00 Length (ft): 3,770.00 Width (ft): 100.00 From: . To: .		PCI Family: IowaPCCRW_SE_Enhanced		
Slabs: 1,813 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 42,408.03		Section Comments:		
Last Insp Date: 3/9/2025 PCI: 91 Total Samples: 77 Surveyed: 9		Inspection Comments:		
Sample Number: 05				
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 65 JT SEAL DMG	н	Sample Comments:  24.00 Slabs		
Sample Number: 11		24.00 Slabs		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 65 JT SEAL DMG	М	Sample Comments:  24.00 Slabs		
Sample Number: 15	····	2 1.00 0.000		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00		Sample Comments:		
65 JT SEAL DMG	M	24.00 Slabs		
Sample Number: 25  Sample Type: R  Sample PCI: 88  Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00  65 JT SEAL DMG	н	Sample Comments:  24.00 Slabs		
Sample Number: 35	11	24.00 Slabs		
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 85 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00		Sample Comments:		
65 JT SEAL DMG 74 JOINT SPALL	H M	24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs		

Sample Number: 45

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 84

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG 24.00 Slabs Н 71 FAULTING L 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 12

Sample Number: 55

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 24.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 65

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 24.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 75

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 95

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 24.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL M 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 13

Branch - Section ID: TAIC - 001

Branch Name: TAXIWAY A Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 10/15/2008

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 10,933.00

Length (ft): 275.00 Width (ft): 35.00 From: RUNWAY 7-3

To: END

Slabs: 75 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 11.60 Joint Length (ft): 1,465.01

Last Insp Date: 3/9/2025

PCI: 98 Total Samples: 4 Surveyed: 3

Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCTW SE Enhanced

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 97

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG 70 SCALING

L L

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L

20.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

18.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 04

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

65 JT SEAL DMG

Sample Comments:

L

Sample Comments:

18.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 14

Branch - Section ID: TAIC - 002

Branch Name: TAXIWAY A Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 6/3/2012

Surface Type: PCC Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 197,985.00

Length (ft): 5,400.00 Width (ft): 35.00 From: T02IC-01 To: R07IC-01

Slabs: 2.095 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 10.80 Slab Width (ft): 8.75 Joint Length (ft): 35,265.42

Last Insp Date: 3/9/2025

PCI: 99

Total Samples: 105 Surveyed: 13

Sample Number: 005

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

**NO DISTRESS** 

Sample Number: 015

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 95

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

71 FAULTING

Sample Number: 025

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 99

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

66 SMALL PATCH

Sample Number: 035

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

**NO DISTRESS** 

Sample Number: 045

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

**NO DISTRESS** 

Sample Number: 055

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

**NO DISTRESS** 

PCI Family: IowaPCCTW SE Enhanced

**Inspection Comments:** 

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

L

L

Sample Comments:

1.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025
Network ID: IOW Page 15

Sample Number: 065

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

**NO DISTRESS** 

Sample Number: 075

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

**NO DISTRESS** 

Sample Number: 085

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

**NO DISTRESS** 

Sample Number: 089

Sample Type: A Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 79

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

63 LINEAR CR M 2.00 Slabs 65 JT SEAL DMG L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 090

Sample Type: A Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

63 LINEAR CR L 1.00 Slabs 65 JT SEAL DMG L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 095

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 102

Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

NO DISTRESS

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 1				
	Branch - Se	ction ID: TBIC - 001		
Branch Name: TAXIWAY E	3		Use: TAXIWAY	
LCD: 6/1/2013 Surface Type: APC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 32,101 Length (ft): 650.00 Width (ft): 50.00 From: RUNWAY 12/30 To: RUNWAY 7/25	.00	PCI Family: lowaAPCTW_Southern		
Slabs: Slab Length (ft): Slab Width (ft): Joint Length (ft):		Section Comments:		
Last Insp Date: 3/9/2025 PCI: 51 Total Samples: 7 Surveyed: 4		Inspection Comments:		
Sample Number: 01				
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 44 Sample Area (SF):	5,000.00	Sample Comments:		
47 JT REF. CR 47 JT REF. CR 48 L & T CR 52 RAVELING 52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERIN	L M L H L		lu w 2ndy lu	
Sample Number: 02				
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 54 Sample Area (SF):	5,000.00	Sample Comments:		
47 JT REF. CR 47 JT REF. CR 48 L & T CR 57 WEATHERIN	L M L NG L		lu w 2ndy lu	
Sample Number: 05				
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 51 Sample Area (SF):	5,000.00	Sample Comments:		
47 JT REF. CR 47 JT REF. CR 48 L & T CR 57 WEATHERIN	L M L NG L	400.00 FT	lu w lu	
Sample Number: 06				
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 55 Sample Area (SF):	3,750.00	Sample Comments:		

Μ

L

190.00 FT

235.00 FT

3,750.00 SF

26.00 FT

lu

lu

fs w

47 JT REF. CR

47 JT REF. CR

**57 WEATHERING** 

48 L & T CR

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 17

Branch - Section ID: TBIC - 002

Branch Name: TAXIWAY B Use: TAXIWAY

PCI Family: IowaPCCTW SE Enhanced

LCD: 6/2/2020

Surface Type: PCC Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 16,542.00

Length (ft): 330.00 Width (ft): 50.00 From: T01IC-01 To: T01IC-04

Slabs: 105 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.60 Joint Length (ft): 2,255.25

Last Insp Date: 3/9/2025 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 96 Total Samples: 5 Surveyed: 4

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 91

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 20.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL M 2.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 04

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 05

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 18.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 18

_	<b>A</b> 41			
Branch -	SACTION	117.	IBIC I	nna
Dianti	. 26611011	ID.	1 010 - 1	JU4

Branch Name: TAXIWAY B Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 6/1/2013

Surface Type: APC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 10,500.00

Length (ft): 210.00 Width (ft): 50.00

From: S END OF TWY 01-03 To: END OF TWY 01-05

Slabs:

Slab Length (ft): Slab Width (ft): Joint Length (ft):

Last Insp Date: 3/9/2025

PCI: 50 Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 2 Section Comments:

PCI Family: IowaAPCTW Southern

Inspection Comments:

#### Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 54

Sample Area (SF): 5,250.00

290.00 FT 47 JT REF. CR L 47 JT REF. CR Μ 315.00 FT w 2ndy veg 48 L & T CR L 15.00 FT **57 WEATHERING** L 5.150.00 SF 57 WEATHERING М 100.00 SF

#### Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 46

Sample Area (SF): 5,250.00

45 DEPRESSION L 10.00 SF 47 JT REF. CR L 195.00 FT lu 47 JT REF. CR 330.00 FT Μ w 2ndy 48 L & T CR L 39.00 FT lu 125.00 SF 50 PATCHING L 57 WEATHERING L 4,875.00 SF 57 WEATHERING Μ 250.00 SF

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 19

Branch - Section ID: TBIC - 005

Use: TAXIWAY Branch Name: TAXIWAY B

LCD: 6/3/2012

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 27,221.00

Length (ft): 480.00 Width (ft): 50.00

From: N END OF TWY 01-03 To: THE END OF TWY 01-04

Slabs: 296

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 8.80 Joint Length (ft): 4,984.21

Last Insp Date: 3/9/2025

PCI: 100 Total Samples: 12 Surveyed: 6

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

**NO DISTRESS** Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 **NO DISTRESS** 

Sample Number: 05

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

**NO DISTRESS** 

Sample Number: 06 Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

**NO DISTRESS** 

Sample Number: 11

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00 **NO DISTRESS** 

Sample Number: 12

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

**NO DISTRESS** 

PCI Family: IowaPCCTW SE Enhanced

Section Comments:

Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 20

Branch - Section ID: TBIC - 006

PCI Family: IowaPCCTW SE Enhanced

Branch Name: TAXIWAY B Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 10/15/2009 Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 11,868.00

Length (ft): 195.00 Width (ft): 50.00 From: RUNWAY 7 To: TAXIWAY 01-01

Slabs: 88 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 12.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.00 Joint Length (ft): 1,679.78

Last Insp Date: 3/9/2025 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 95 Total Samples: 4 Surveyed: 4

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 85

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

74 JOINT SPALL H 1.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL H 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

**NO DISTRESS** 

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 19.00

**NO DISTRESS** 

Sample Number: 04

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

75 CORNER SPALL L 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 21

Branch - Section ID: TH01IC - 001 Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01 Use: T-HANGAR LCD: 6/3/2012 PCI Family: IowaPCCTH SE Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 8,395.00 Length (ft): 215.00 Width (ft): 40.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 112 Section Comments: avg slabs

Slab Length (ft): 8.70 Slab Width (ft): 8.75 Joint Length (ft): 1,696.41

Last Insp Date: 3/9/2025 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 96 Total Samples: 5 Surveyed: 4

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 25.00

65 JT SEAL DMG ı 25.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JT SEAL DMG L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 04

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 94

Sample Area (Slabs): 28.00

65 JT SEAL DMG 28.00 Slabs L L 66 SMALL PATCH 1.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 05

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

65 JT SEAL DMG 21.00 Slabs L 75 CORNER SPALL Н 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 22

Branch -	Section I	D: TH0'	1IC - 002	

Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01 Use: T-HANGAR

LCD: 7/1/2009 Surface Type: APC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 9,746.00 Length (ft): 285.00 Width (ft): 35.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs:

Slab Length (ft): Slab Width (ft): Joint Length (ft):

Last Insp Date: 3/9/2025

PCI: 40 Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 2

PCI Family: IowaAsphaltTH Southern

Section Comments:

Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

lu

fs w

#### Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 41

Sample Area (SF): 4,375.00

> 20.00 SF 41 ALLIGATOR CR L L 48 L & T CR 87.00 FT 48 L & T CR Μ 95.00 FT 52 RAVELING Μ 1.500.00 SF 57 WEATHERING L 875.00 SF **57 WEATHERING** Μ 2,000.00 SF

#### Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 39

Sample Area (SF): 5,371.00

41 ALLIGATOR CR	M	35.00 SF	
45 DEPRESSION	L	5.00 SF	At Crack
47 JT REF. CR	M	130.00 FT	fs
48 L & T CR	L	142.00 FT	ls
48 L & T CR	M	156.00 FT	fs 2ndy
52 RAVELING	Н	10.00 SF	
52 RAVELING	L	1,900.00 SF	
52 RAVELING	M	110.00 SF	
56 SWELLING	L	100.00 SF	
57 WEATHERING	L	3,351.00 SF	
57 WEATHERING	M	1,900.00 SF	

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 23

Network ID: IOW				
Branch - Section ID: TH01IC - 003				
Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01			Use: T-HANGAR	
LCD: 1/1/1945 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 8,263.00 Length (ft): 100.00 Width (ft): 95.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP	PCI Family: lowaPCCTH_SE			
Slabs: 46 Slab Length (ft): 17.70 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 1,123.53	Sec	ction Comments: avg slab length		
Last Insp Date: 3/9/2025 PCI: 19 Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 2	Insp	pection Comments:		
Sample Number: 01				
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 34 Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00	Sar	nple Comments:		
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG 70 SCALING 72 SHAT. SLAB 75 CORNER SPALL	М Н М М Н	10.00 Slabs 21.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs		
Sample Number: 02				
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 7 Sample Area (Slabs): 25.00	Sar	nple Comments:		
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG	Н М Н	3.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 25.00 Slabs		

Н

Μ

1.00 Slabs

7.00 Slabs

4.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

70 SCALING

72 SHAT. SLAB

72 SHAT. SLAB

75 CORNER SPALL

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 24

Network ID: IOW			Page 24
	Branch - Section ID:	TH01IC - 004	
Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01			Use: T-HANGAR
LCD: 7/1/2009 Surface Type: AAC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 85,779.00 Length (ft): 355.00 Width (ft): 242.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP	PCI Fa	mily: IowaAsphaltTH_Southern	
Slabs: Slab Length (ft): Slab Width (ft): Joint Length (ft):	Section	Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 3/9/2025 PCI: 35 Total Samples: 18 Surveyed: 5	Inspect	ion Comments:	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 57 Sample Area (SF): 5,000.00	Sample	e Comments:	
41 ALLIGATOR CR 48 L & T CR 48 L & T CR 57 WEATHERING	M L M M	70.00 SF 5.00 FT 62.00 FT 5,000.00 SF	
Sample Number: 07			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 36 Sample Area (SF): 4,875.00	Sample	e Comments:	
41 ALLIGATOR CR	М	285.00 SF	
48 L & T CR	L	41.00 FT lu	
48 L & T CR	M	142.00 FT fs	
50 PATCHING 57 WEATHERING	L M	400.00 SF 4,475.00 SF	
Sample Number: 08	IVI	4,473.00 3F	
Sample Type: R	Samnk	e Comments:	
Sample PCI: 38 Sample Area (SF): 4,875.00	Sample	, comments.	
41 ALLIGATOR CR	М	240.00 SF	

M

L

M

92.00 FT

752.00 SF

4,123.00 SF

fs

48 L & T CR

50 PATCHING

57 WEATHERING

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 25

lu fs

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 29

Sample Area (SF): 4,875.00

41 ALLIGATOR CR	M	125.00 SF
48 L & T CR	L	76.00 FT
48 L & T CR	M	200.00 FT
50 PATCHING	L	539.00 SF
52 RAVELING	M	650.00 SF
53 RUTTING	M	65.00 SF
57 WEATHERING	M	3,686.00 SF

#### Sample Number: 17

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 15

Sample Area (SF): 5,000.00

41 ALLIGATOR CR	M	950.00 SF
48 L & T CR	L	75.00 FT
48 L & T CR	M	75.00 FT
50 PATCHING	L	1,857.00 SF
53 RUTTING	L	50.00 SF
53 RUTTING	M	30.00 SF
57 WEATHERING	M	3,143.00 SF

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 26

Network ID: IOW				Page 2		
	Branch - Section ID:	TH02IC - 001				
Branch Name: T-HANGAR 02				Use: T-HANGA		
LCD: 1/1/2007 Surface Type: AAC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 20,287.00 Length (ft): 590.00 Width (ft): 35.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP	PCI Fa	mily: lowaAsphaltTH_South	nern			
Slabs: Slab Length (ft): Slab Width (ft): Joint Length (ft):	Section Comments:					
Last Insp Date: 3/9/2025 PCI: 38 Total Samples: 4 Surveyed: 3	Inspect	ion Comments:				
Sample Number: 01						
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 44 Sample Area (SF): 5,500.00	Sample	e Comments:				
41 ALLIGATOR CR 45 DEPRESSION 48 L & T CR 48 L & T CR 50 PATCHING 50 PATCHING 52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERING	M L L M L M L	95.00 SF 15.00 SF 73.00 FT 136.00 FT 10.00 SF 90.00 SF 1,000.00 SF 5,400.00 SF	lu fs 2ndy			
Sample Number: 02						
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 45 Sample Area (SF): 4,375.00	Sample	e Comments:				
41 ALLIGATOR CR 48 L & T CR 48 L & T CR 50 PATCHING 52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERING	M L M L L	50.00 SF 71.00 FT 58.00 FT 140.00 SF 1,000.00 SF 4,235.00 SF	lu w 2ndy			
Sample Number: 03						
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 24 Sample Area (SF): 4,400.00	Sample	e Comments:				
41 ALLIGATOR CR 41 ALLIGATOR CR 48 L & T CR 48 L & T CR 50 PATCHING 50 PATCHING	L M L M L	80.00 SF 215.00 SF 35.00 FT 20.00 FT 50.00 SF 60.00 SF	Edge lu w 2ndy			

L

L

1,000.00 SF

4,216.00 SF

5.00 SF

**52 RAVELING** 

53 RUTTING

**57 WEATHERING** 

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 27

Network ID: IOW			Page 27
	Branch - Section II	D: TH02IC - 002	
Branch Name: T-HANGAR 02			Use: T-HANGAR
LCD: 1/1/2000 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 53,361.00 Length (ft): 1,230.00 Width (ft): 35.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP	PCH	Family: IowaPCCTH_SE	
Slabs: 445 Slab Length (ft): 12.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 8,214.87	Secti	ion Comments: avg slab length	
Last Insp Date: 3/9/2025 PCI: 59 Total Samples: 17 Surveyed: 7	Inspe	ection Comments:	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 81 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sam	ple Comments:	
63 LINEAR CR 65 JT SEAL DMG	L H	2.00 Slabs 20.00 Slabs	
73 SHRINKAGE CR	N	2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sam	ple Comments:	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	20.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 08			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 43 Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00	Sam	ple Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs	
62 CORNER BREAK	M	3.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR 63 LINEAR CR	L M	1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	H	18.00 Slabs	
72 SHAT. SLAB	Ĺ	1.00 Slabs	

Ν

Н

Μ

1.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

73 SHRINKAGE CR

75 CORNER SPALL

74 JOINT SPALL

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025 Network ID: IOW Page 28 Sample Number: 11 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 48 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 **62 CORNER BREAK** L 1.00 Slabs **62 CORNER BREAK** 1.00 Slabs Μ 63 LINEAR CR 4.00 Slabs L 1.00 Slabs 63 LINEAR CR Μ 20.00 Slabs 65 JT SEAL DMG Η **67 LARGE PATCH** L 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 70 SCALING Μ 1.00 Slabs 72 SHAT. SLAB L 73 SHRINKAGE CR Ν 2.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL Μ 2.00 Slabs Sample Number: 13 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 37 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 **62 CORNER BREAK** L 5.00 Slabs 63 LINEAR CR L 6.00 Slabs 63 LINEAR CR Μ 1.00 Slabs Н 20.00 Slabs 65 JT SEAL DMG 72 SHAT, SLAB L 2.00 Slabs 73 SHRINKAGE CR Ν 1.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL Н 1.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL 4.00 Slabs Μ Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 61

Sample Number: 14	Sam	ple	Num	ber:	14
-------------------	-----	-----	-----	------	----

Sample PCI: 61			
Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00			
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CR	L	7.00 Slabs	
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	20.00 Slabs	
72 SHAT. SLAB	L	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	

Μ

2.00 Slabs

#### Sample Number: 17

Sample Type: R	Sample Comments:
Sample PCI: 56	

Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00

74 JOINT SPALL

63 LINEAR CR	L	3.00 Slabs
65 JT SEAL DMG	Н	16.00 Slabs
73 SHRINKAGE CR	N	5.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	Н	3.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 29

Branch - Section ID: TH02IC - 003

PCI Family: IowaPCCTH SE

Branch Name: T-HANGAR 02 Use: T-HANGAR

Surface Type: PCC

LCD: 10/1/2016

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 3,440.00

Length (ft): 86.00 Width (ft): 40.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 32 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 11.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 530.73

Last Insp Date: 3/9/2025 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 93 Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 2

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00

65 JT SEAL DMG M 16.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00

65 JT SEAL DMG M 16.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 30

Branch - Section ID: TH02IC - 004

Branch Name: T-HANGAR 02 Use: T-HANGAR

LCD: 10/1/2012

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 5,530.00

Length (ft): 158.00 Width (ft): 35.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 48 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 11.70 Joint Length (ft): 832.65

Last Insp Date: 3/9/2025

PCI: 85 Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 2 Inspection Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCTH SE

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 78

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG M 24.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING M 3.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 92

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JT SEAL DMG M 24.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL L 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 31

Branch - Section ID: TH02IC - 005

PCI Family: IowaPCCTH SE

Branch Name: T-HANGAR 02 Use: T-HANGAR

LCD: 7/1/2017 Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 6,300.00

Length (ft): 180.00 Width (ft): 35.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 45 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 12.00 Slab Width (ft): 11.70 Joint Length (ft): 848.46

Last Insp Date: 3/9/2025 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 95 Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 2

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 96

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

74 JOINT SPALL M 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 94

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

74 JOINT SPALL M 2.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 8/11/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 32

PCI Family: IowaPCCTH SE

Branch - Section ID: TH02IC - 006

Branch Name: T-HANGAR 02 Use: T-HANGAR

LCD: 6/3/2021 Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 14,870.00

Length (ft): 237.00 Width (ft): 60.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 157 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 9.50 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 2,741.69

Last Insp Date: 3/9/2025 Inspection Comments:

PCI: 100 Total Samples: 7 Surveyed: 4

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 04

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 05

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

**NO DISTRESS** 

Sample Number: 06

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

# APPENDIX D WORK HISTORY REPORT

#### **WORK HISTORY**

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 6/30/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 1

#### **Network: IOWA CITY MUNICIPAL AIRPORT**

Branch - Section ID: A01IC - 001

 LCD: 6/2/2020
 Length (ft):
 241.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 100.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 25,168.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-02-2020	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$250,730.00	8.00	True	8" PCC
06-01-2020	SB-ST	Subbase - Stabilized	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" modified subbase
06-01-1945	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

#### Branch - Section ID: A02IC - 001

 LCD: 6/1/2007
 Length (ft):
 240.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 192.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 44,939.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2007	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	ESTIMATED DATE
06-01-1965	OL-AS	Overlay - AC Structural	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

#### Branch - Section ID: A02IC - 002

 LCD: 7/1/2016
 Length (ft):
 240.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 96.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 22,470.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
07-01-2016	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST. VIA GE

#### Branch - Section ID: R07IC - 001

 LCD: 4/3/2009
 Length (ft):
 2,430.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 100.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 243,002.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
08-01-2022	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
08-01-2022	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
08-01-2022	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
04-03-2009	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	8.00	True	8" P501 PCC SURFA E
04-02-2009	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P219 RECYCLED CONCRETE
04-01-2009	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" P152 COMPACTED SUBGRADE
06-01-1940	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	7.5"-5"-7.5" PCC

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 6/30/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 2

Branch - Section ID: R07IC - 002

 LCD: 10/3/2009
 Length (ft):
 1,939.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 100.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 193,887.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
10-03-2009	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	8.00	True	8" P501 PCC SURFACE
10-02-2009	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P219 RECYCLED CONCRETE
10-01-2009	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" P152 COMPACTED SUBGRADE
06-01-1940	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	7.5"-5"-7.5" PCC

Branch - Section ID: R07IC - 003

 LCD: 10/2/2008
 Length (ft):
 773.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 100.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 77,286.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
10-02-2008	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	8.00	True	8" P501 PCC
10-01-2008	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P208 ABC

Branch - Section ID: R12IC - 001

 LCD: 10/3/2009
 Length (ft):
 3,770.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 100.00

 Rank: S
 True Area (sf):
 283,221.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
10-03-2009	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P501 PCC
10-02-2009	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P219 RECYCLED CONCRETE
10-01-2009	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P152 COMPACTED SUBGRADE
06-02-1946	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	9.00	True	350' EXTENSION @ 12 APPROACH: 9" PCC
06-01-1946	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	7.00	False	350' EXTENSION @ 12 APPROACH: 7" P154
06-01-1940	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	7.5"-5"-7.5" PCC

Branch - Section ID: TAIC - 001

 LCD: 10/15/2008
 Length (ft):
 275.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 10,933.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
10-15-2008	NC-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	AIP Project
10-14-2008	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	0.00	False	RECYCLED PCC AS AGG
10-13-2008	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	0.00	False	P152 COMPACTED SUBGRADE

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 6/30/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 3

Branch - Section ID: TAIC - 002

 LCD: 6/3/2012
 Length (ft):
 5,400.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 197,985.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2012	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	8.00	True	-
06-02-2012	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	-
06-01-2012	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	12.00	False	Flyash stabilized

Branch - Section ID: TBIC - 001

 LCD: 6/1/2013
 Length (ft):
 650.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 50.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 32,101.00

Surface: APC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2013	OL-AC	Overlay - AC	\$0.00	2.00	True	-
06-02-1945	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	9.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: TBIC - 002

 LCD: 6/2/2020
 Length (ft):
 330.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 50.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 16,542.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-02-2020	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$165,420.00	8.00	True	8" PCC
06-01-2020	SB-ST	Subbase - Stabilized	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" modified subbase
06-01-2012	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
01-01-1945	NC-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: TBIC - 004

 LCD: 6/1/2013
 Length (ft):
 210.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 50.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 10,500.00

Surface: APC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2013	OL-AC	Overlay - AC	\$0.00	2.00	True	-
06-02-1945	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	9.00	True	-

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 6/30/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 4

Branch - Section ID: TBIC - 005

 LCD: 6/3/2012
 Length (ft):
 480.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 50.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 27,221.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2012	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	8.00	True	-
06-02-2012	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	-
06-01-2012	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	12.00	False	Flyash stabilized
09-01-2010	OL-AS	Overlay - AC Structural	\$0.00	0.00	True	-
06-01-1965	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	\$0.00	0.00	True	Assumed

## Branch - Section ID: TBIC - 006

 LCD: 10/15/2009
 Length (ft):
 195.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 50.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 11,868.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2024	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	Field est
10-15-2009	NC-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	6.00	True	AIP 3-19-0047-17, -18, & -19
10-14-2009	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	5.00	False	RECYCLED PCC AS AGG
10-13-2009	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	LVC

## Branch - Section ID: TH01IC - 001

 LCD: 6/3/2012
 Length (ft):
 215.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 40.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 8,395.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2012	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	8.00	True	-
06-02-2012	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	-
06-01-2012	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	12.00	False	Flyash stabilized

## Branch - Section ID: TH01IC - 002

 LCD: 7/1/2009
 Length (ft):
 285.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 9,746.00

Surface: APC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
07-01-2009	OL-AC	Overlay - AC	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST. VIA GE
01-01-1940	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST. VIA GE

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 6/30/2025

Network ID: IOW

Page 5

Branch - Section ID: TH01IC - 003

 LCD: 1/1/1945
 Length (ft):
 100.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 95.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 8,263.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
01-01-1945	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	DATE UNKNOWN

Branch - Section ID: TH01IC - 004

 LCD: 7/1/2009
 Length (ft):
 355.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 242.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 85,779.00

Surface: AAC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
07-01-2009	OL-AC	Overlay - AC	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST. VIA GE

Branch - Section ID: TH02IC - 001

 LCD: 1/1/2007
 Length (ft):
 590.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 20,287.00

Surface: AAC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
01-01-2007	OL-AC	Overlay - AC	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST. VIA GE

Branch - Section ID: TH02IC - 002

 LCD: 1/1/2000
 Length (ft):
 1,230.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 53,361.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
01-01-2000	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST. VIA GE

Branch - Section ID: TH02IC - 003

 LCD: 10/1/2016
 Length (ft):
 86.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 40.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 3,440.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
10-01-2016	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST. VIA GE

Branch - Section ID: TH02IC - 004

 LCD: 10/1/2012
 Length (ft):
 158.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 5,530.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
10-01-2012	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST. VIA GE

Pavement Database: IA 2024 Generate Date: 6/30/2025

Network ID: IOW Page 6

Branch - Section ID: TH02IC - 005

 LCD: 7/1/2017
 Length (ft):
 180.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 6,300.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
07-01-2017	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST. VIA GE

Branch - Section ID: TH02IC - 006

 LCD: 6/3/2021
 Length (ft):
 237.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 60.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 14,870.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2021	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$118,960.00	6.00	True	6" PCC CONCRETE PAVEMENT
06-02-2021	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" SUBBASE, MODIFIED
06-01-2021	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" SUBGRADE PREPARATION

# **APPENDIX E**

# LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND UNIT COST TABLES

Table E-1. Localized preventive maintenance policy, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action
Alligator Cracking	Low	Monitor
Alligator Cracking	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Alligator Cracking	High	Asphalt Patch
Bleeding	N/A	Monitor
Block Cracking	Low	Monitor
Block Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Block Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Corrugation	Low	Monitor
Corrugation	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Corrugation	High	Asphalt Patch
Depression	Low	Monitor
Depression	Medium	Monitor
Depression	High	Asphalt Patch
Jet-Blast Erosion	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Joint Reflection Cracking	Low	Monitor
Joint Reflection Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Joint Reflection Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	Low	Monitor
L&T Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Oil Spillage	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Patching	Low	Monitor
Patching	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Patching	High	Asphalt Patch
Polished Aggregate	N/A	Monitor
Raveling	Low	Monitor
Raveling	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Raveling	High	Asphalt Patch
Rutting	Low	Monitor
Rutting	Medium	Monitor
Rutting	High	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	Low	Monitor
Shoving	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	High	Asphalt Patch
Slippage Cracking	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Swelling	Low	Monitor
Swelling	Medium	Monitor
Swelling	High	Asphalt Patch
Weathering	Low	Monitor
Weathering	Medium	Monitor
Weathering	High	Asphalt Patch

Table E-2. Localized preventive maintenance policy, PCC pavements.

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action	
ASR	Low	Monitor	
ASR	Medium	Slab Replacement	
ASR	High	Slab Replacement	
Blowup	Low	Slab Replacement	
Blowup	Medium	Slab Replacement	
Blowup	High	Slab Replacement	
Corner Break	Low	Crack Seal—PCC	
Corner Break	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch	
Corner Break	High	Full Depth PCC Patch	
Durability Cracking	Low	Monitor	
Durability Cracking	Medium	Full Depth Patch	
Durability Cracking	High	Slab Replacement	
Faulting	Low	Monitor	
Faulting	Medium	Grinding	
Faulting	High	Slab Replacement	
Joint Seal Damage	Low	Monitor	
Joint Seal Damage	Medium	Joint Seal	
Joint Seal Damage	High	Joint Seal	
LTD Cracking	Low	Monitor	
LTD Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—PCC	
LTD Cracking	High	Slab Replacement	
Patching (Small and Large)	Low	Monitor	
Patching (Small and Large)	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch	
Patching (Small and Large)	High	Full Depth PCC Patch	
Popouts	N/A	Monitor	
Pumping	N/A	Monitor	
Scaling	Low	Monitor	
Scaling	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch	
Scaling	High	Slab Replacement	
Shattered Slab	Low	Crack Seal—PCC	
Shattered Slab	Medium	Slab Replacement	
Shattered Slab	High	Slab Replacement	
Shrinkage Cracking	N/A	Monitor	
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Low	Monitor	
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch	
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	High	Partial Depth PCC Patch	

Table E-3. 2025 unit costs for localized preventive maintenance actions.

Maintenance Action	Unit Cost
Asphalt Patch—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$15.90/sf
Crack Sealing—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$2.72/If
Partial Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$40.74/sf
Full Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$18.19/sf
Crack Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.27/lf
Joint Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.27/lf
Grinding—PCC Pavement	\$0.39/sf
Slab Replacement—PCC Pavement	\$18.19/sf

Table Note: The unit cost estimates are based on broad statewide numbers and should be adjusted to reflect local costs.

Table E-4. 2025 unit costs (per square foot) based on pavement type and PCI ranges.

Pavement Type	PCI Range 0-40	PCI Range 40-50	PCI Range 50-60	PCI Range 60-70	PCI Range 70-80	PCI Range 80-90	PCI Range 90-100
Asphalt- surfaced	\$11.29	\$5.34	\$5.34	\$5.34	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
PCC	\$18.86	\$8.92	\$8.92	\$8.92	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

#### Table Notes:

- The unit cost estimates are based on broad statewide numbers and should be adjusted to reflect local costs.
- Pavement Type: Asphalt-surfaced = AC (asphalt cement concrete), AAC (asphalt overlay on AC), or APC (asphalt overlay on PCC); PCC = portland cement concrete

# **APPENDIX F**

# YEAR 2025 LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE DETAILS

Year 2025 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details

Table F-1. Year 2025 localized preventive maintenance details.

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2025 Estimated Cost
R07IC	02	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	129	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.27	\$10,790
R12IC	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	403	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.27	\$30,816
R12IC	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	806	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.27	\$61,633
R12IC	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	17	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$40.74	\$4,417
TAIC	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	2	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.27	\$64
TBIC	02	Joint Spalling	Medium	3	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$40.74	\$708
TBIC	06	Corner Spalling	High	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$40.74	\$110
TBIC	06	Joint Spalling	High	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$40.74	\$329
TH01IC	01	Corner Spalling	High	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$40.74	\$131
TH02IC	03	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	32	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.27	\$1,735
TH02IC	04	Faulting	Medium	3	Slabs	Grinding (Localized)	\$0.39	\$14
TH02IC	04	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	48	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.27	\$2,723
TH02IC	05	Joint Spalling	Medium	3	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$40.74	\$789

#### Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340. L&T cracking = longitudinal and transverse cracking; LTD cracking = longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal cracking; ASR = alkali-silica reaction.
- 3. The costs provided are of a general nature for the entire State and may require adjustments to reflect specific conditions at lowa City Municipal Airport.



## PREPARED FOR

Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/modes-travel/aviation

AUGUST 2025